1		STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2		TERN DIVISION
3	OTTO MAY, JR.,	) Docket No. 02 C 50440
4	Plaintiff,	) Rockford, Illinois ) Wednesday, August 25, 2010
5	v.	) 8: 45 o' clock a. m
6	CHRYSLER GROUP LLC,	
7	Defendant.	j –
8		VOLUME 1
9		SCRIPT OF TRIAL FREDERICK J. KAPALA, and a jury
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Plaintiff:	MS. KAREN J. DORAN (20 Danada Square West,
12		Sui te 149, Wheaton, IL 60189)
13		·
14		MEDINA LAW (171 S. Oak Park Avenue, Oak Park, IL 60302) by
15		Oak Park, IL 60302) by MS. DEANNE S. MEDINA
16	For the Defendant:	WILLIAMS MCCARTHY LLP (120 W State Street,
17		Suite 400, Rockford, IL 61101) by MR. STEPHEN E. BALOGH
18		
19		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON (2555 Grand Boulevard,
20		Suite 2800, Kansas City, MD 64108-6550) by
21		MR. WILLIAM C. MARTUCCI MS. KRISTEN A. PAGE
22 23	Also Present:	MR. ANTHONY MINNEYFIELD
	Court Reporter:	Mary T. Lindbloom
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		211 South Court Street Rockford, Illinois 61101 (815) 987-4486

1	(The following proceedings were had in open court, out of	
2	the presence and hearing of the jury:)	
3	THE CLERK: 02 C 50440, May v. Chrysler.	
4	THE COURT: Good norning.	
5	MR. BALOGH: Good norning, your Honor.	
6	MS. DORAN: Good morning, your Honor.	
7	MS. MEDINA: Good norning, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Let's take care of some preliminary	
9	matters. First of all, I will grant the motion for leave to	
10	appear pro hac vice by Kristen Page.	
11	MR. BALOGH: That's Kristen Page right there, your	
12	Honor.	
13	MS. PAGE: Thank you, your Honor.	
14	THE COURT: You're welcome.	
15	The joint stipulation of facts. Do you want to read it	
16	right after opening statements?	
17	MR. BALOGH: I think that would be fine, your Honor.	
18	THE COURT: Do you want me to read it?	
19	MS. DORAN: I'd actually like them read right before	
20	opening statements.	
21	THE COURT: All right. And the statement of the facts	
22	that is or the statement of the case that I'm going to give	
23	to the jurors is the same one that's in the pretrial order.	
24	MR. BALOGH: That's fine, your Honor, with the defense.	
25	MS. DORAN: It's fine with plaintiff, as well. Thank	

1	you.
2	THE COURT: Pronunciation of the witnesses. Kinberly
3	<b>K.</b>
4	MR. BALOGH: Kuborn, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: George Bertone.
6	MR. BALOGH: It's Bertone.
7	MS. DORAN: This is very helpful.
8	MR. BALOGH: The Italian.
9	MS. DORAN: The Italian.
10	THE COURT: Zach Budden.
11	MR. BALOGH: Budden.
12	THE COURT: Scott Huller.
13	MR. BALOGH: Huller.
14	THE COURT: And the joint list that I have is the same
15	list that the jurors have?
16	MR. BALOGH: It should be, your Honor, yes.
17	MS. DORAN: That's the one that was e-mailed Word
18	Perfect yesterday.
19	MR. BALOGH: I think both sides gave the same list to
20	Susan, yes.
21	THE COURT: Regarding exhibits, thank you for the work
22	that you did. I suppose I should say prescreening the exhibits.
23	But I have a question for you. First, plaintiff has proposed 77
24	exhibits. Defendant has no objection to 71 of those 77
25	exhibits. Defendant does, however, object to the following

proposed exhibits based upon the appropriate scope of the case consistent with the final pretrial order. And then you give me one, two, three, four, five, and six. Is that still the same? MR. BALOGH: Actually, your Honor, I would narrow the I think five and six on the final list we got are objection. both within the scope of the case. However, I would think that Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 all predate the scope of the case, and their entry would violate the court's order on the motions in limine. THE COURT: And so --MR. BALOGH: I take that back. It's 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. THE COURT: And your objection is that they predate the incidents which form the basis of this suit. MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, the first incident of vandalism to Mr. May's car is alleged to have occurred in

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, the first incident of vandalism to Mr. May's car is alleged to have occurred in February 2002. Exhibits 1 through 5 all predate that. In the motion in limine, the court said we could get into an incident involving Eldon Kline, and you'll see Mr. Kline's name on the list here a couple times, but the incident referred to in the motion in limine was an incident that occurred involving a Hispanic employee by the name of Onar Sepulveda in 2002, and these exhibits don't relate to that incident.

**THE COURT:** Attorney Doran?

MS. DORAN: Well, we believe that Exhibits 1 through 5 give context to the jury. Again, Exhibits 1 and 3 relate to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and then Mr. Kline's denotion in 2001. These give color and context to Mr. May's suspect list that he gave to Chrysler. It gives them an understanding of why he's on the suspect list, and we believe that the jury has a right to know this stuff so that they can make a determination as to whether or not Chrysler took reasonable steps. In addition, the Kuborn binder itself has a "what we did" document.

MR. BALOGH: She's referring now, I think, to Defendant's Exhibit Number 1.

MS. DORAN: Well, I'm referring to the central documents binder. There's a document in here that's numbered -- it's like a new Bates number for purposes of the binder itself -- five. There's information in here that's been redacted. I believe that counsel probably redacted it for the same reasons that they're bringing up here in court. I have the unredacted version that was given to us in discovery, and in this version it refers to the information that's in Exhibits 1 through 5.

So, in other words, this document unredacted, the virgin document that was part of the central documents binder that I think their defense is that this explains what they did, this shows the jury what these people did in response to the harassment. The original document includes information about the stuff that they're seeking to exclude from trial now.

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, all of this, quite frankly, from '98 and '01, the Stumpf radio incident, was all dealt with in Judge Reinhard's ruling on the motions for summary judgment and is not at issue in this case. If we're allowed to start talking about Eldon Kline's run-in with Mr. May in 1998, then we're going to have to start introducing evidence to show that there was an investigation, that it went through the OFCCP, that Mr. Kline was ultimately fired as a supervisor, and it's just going to reopen a can of worms that's been put behind us.

THE COURT: Do you have an exhibit book for me?

**MS. MEDINA: Yes.** 

MS. DORAN: May I respond to that?

THE COURT: Sure.

M6. DORAN: You know, again, the issue is not -- we're certainly by no means going to try to get this information in front of the jury to claim that his cause of action has anything to do with Chrysler properly dealing with the grievance, anything, you know, properly dealing with these allegations of harassment prior to 2001. We're simply seeking to include this information and show the jury this information so that they understand the full context.

Here we have a list where he says Dave Stumpf, John Myers, Eldon Kline I believe are suspects, and this information colors that. It explains to the jury what did everybody know at this time. It's not relevant what Chrysler did in response to

Mr. May's 2008 grievance. We don't have to reopen that can of worms. It's simply relevant that Chrysler knew that Mr. May had claimed race discrimination against, for example, Eldon Kline, had claimed that John Myers was behind knocking over his lockers prior to 2002, had claims that John Stumpf had made a derogatory comment, racist comment, to him over the radio prior to 2002. It colors the suspect list.

And the jury, we believe, ought to get that information to be able to make its determination as to whether Chrysler's failure to investigate or failure to talk to these guys after 2002 was reasonable, given what they knew.

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, and here's my concern. And I understand that plaintiff's counsel is saying this kind of puts in context why Mr. May might have thought these guys were involved. The problem is if, for example, we talk about the David Stumpf radio incident, which has already been ruled on in a notion for summary judgment, then the jury will be left to wonder, unless we put into evidence the same things that were put into evidence in the notion for summary judgment in Mr. Stumpf's case, that being that there was an investigation, and he was, in fact, disciplined for misuse of the radio.

Eldon Kline. There was an investigation. He was, in fact, ultimately discharged as a supervisor. In Mr. Myers' case, there was an investigation. It was found that Mr. May's accusation regarding the locker could not be substantiated or

```
corroborated. And we have to put all of that into evidence.
 1
2
      Again, it's been decided.
 3
                            All right. Let me give it some thought,
                THE COURT:
 4
      and I'll give you a ruling before we start calling witnesses.
                The next paragraph says defendant has proposed five
 5
 6
                 Plaintiff objects to portions of Defendant's
 7
      Exhibit 1 based upon this court's ruling on defendant's motion
      in limine two. What portions of one do you object to?
8
9
                MS. DORAN:
                            I'm going to withdraw that objection, but I
10
      do -- I honestly think that counsel and I can work this out
11
      because really -- if I could just have a minute to talk.
12
               THE COURT:
                            Sure.
13
           (Brief pause.)
14
                MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, while they're chatting, just a
15
      couple of housecleaning matters. Number one --
16
                THE COURT:
                            Housekeepi ng.
17
               MR. BALOGH:
                             Housekeeping.
                                            What did I say?
      Housecleaning? Well, it could use a cleaning.
18
19
                There's a witness that we'll be calling in the defense
20
      case, one of the experts, and it is our intent, just so no one
21
      is surprised, to ask that witness at some point to use a
22
      demonstrative exhibit, to step down from the witness stand and
      talk to the jury while using the demonstrative exhibit.
23
24
               THE COURT:
                            That's fine.
                             The other thing is, your Honor, and the
25
               MR. BALOGH:
```

```
court may already be aware. I know that we talked to the CSOs
 1
2
                 There is a story about this case in this morning's
      about it.
      Register Star, and so we just need to make sure that everybody
 3
 4
      is cautioned accordingly.
                THE COURT: Right. I'll caution the jury repeatedly
 5
 6
      through the case that they're to refrain from seeing or hearing
      any media accounts of the trial while it's in progress.
 7
8
      get the jury panel in here, I'll ask them if any of them have
9
      seen it.
                 Then I'll explore with them whether it has predisposed
10
      them - -
11
                             It was on the Register Star website
                MR. BALOGH:
12
      yesterday and then in this morning's paper, same story.
13
                And then one other thing and I think Mr. -- did you
14
      take care of the exhibits? I'll stop talking if you did.
15
                MS. PAGE:
                           I don't want to speak for Ms. Doran, but I
16
      think we have worked that out.
17
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
                            See, I knew we could.
18
                MS. DORAN:
19
                MS. PAGE:
                           Yes.
20
                            Judith Caliman is not here?
                THE COURT:
21
                             She's not here yet, your Honor.
                                                               She'll be
                MR. BALOGH:
22
      here later this morning.
23
                MS. DORAN:
                            Who?
24
                MR. BALOGH:
                             Judith Caliman.
25
                MS. DORAN:
                            Oh, she will be.
                                              Okay.
```

1	MR. BALOGH: I've handwritten a list, your Honor, of	
2	those that would be off and on present at the defense table.	
3	THE COURT: Tom, can you get that for me?	
4	MR. BALOGH: And the cities that each is from	
5	THE COURT: Will Judith Caliman be here before we call	
6	the jury in?	
7	MR. BALOGH: I don't know. Will Judith be here before	
8	we I don't know that she'll be here before we start picking	
9	the jury, your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: You see, that was the whole idea, though,	
11	is to introduce the people at counsel table to the jurors to	
12	find out if there's any familiarity.	
13	MR. BALOGH: She missed a flight and got in very, very	
14	late last night.	
15	MS. DORAN: She's from Detroit. I mean	
16	THE COURT: Okay. We don't have to worry about that.	
17	MS. DORAN: Yeah. Plaintiff doesn't for the record,	
18	plaintiff's not worried about that.	
19	THE COURT: All right. When she comes in, I'll	
20	introduce her to whoever's in the box.	
21	MR. BALOGH: And we're also going to have a corporate	
22	representative present at the table. He's also one of the	
23	witnesses. He's one of the managers from Belvidere Assembly.	
24	THE COURT: I thought that was who Judith Caliman was.	
25	MR. BALOGH: No. Judith Caliman is from the office of	

```
1
      general counsel.
                        She'll likely be sitting in the back while
2
                   I don't think she intends to -- she doesn't intend
      she's here.
 3
      to sit at counsel table.
 4
                THE COURT:
                            All right.
                            Judith is a witness.
 5
               MS. DORAN:
 6
                             She was, I thought, removed from the list.
               MR. BALOGH:
                MS. DORAN:
 7
                            She's not on my witness list, but she is a
      witness in this case. I would object to Chrysler having more
8
9
      than one corporate agent.
10
                MR. BALOGH:
                             She is not the corporate representative.
11
               MS. DORAN:
                            How many people are on that list that you
12
      gave to the judge?
13
                MR. BALOGH:
                             Five.
14
                MS. DORAN:
                            Okay. So, just Judith and --
15
                MR. BALOGH:
                             Judith, Bill, Kristen, me, and Anthony
16
      Minneyfield.
17
                            That's the fellow that's --
                MS. DORAN:
18
                MR. BALOGH:
                             He's the manager from Belvidere Assembly.
19
      I think his title is actually supervisor. Isn't that correct?
20
      Paint department supervisor? He's an area manager. He's been
21
      promoted since we took his deposition.
22
                           He's paint area manager?
                MS. DORAN:
23
                MR. MINNEYFIELD:
                                  Yes.
24
                THE COURT: All right. This is Anthony -- he'll be
25
      sitting at counsel table then?
```

1 Yes, your Honor. MR. BALOGH: 2 MS. DORAN: We would object to Judith Caliman being in 3 the courtroom then because she -- I may need to call her as a rebuttal witness. 4 Your Honor, there is absolutely nothing 5 MR. BALOGH: 6 that Judith Caliman can testify to in this case. She's an 7 attorney for Chrysler. She's all over the documents. MS. DORAN: 8 9 MR. BALOGH: As counsel. So am I. 10 MS. DORAN: And her notes concerning a conversation 11 that she had with their HR director is part of the exhibits in 12 this case. She is a witness. There are notes that she wrote. 13 THE COURT: She's not on the list. 14 MS. DORAN: No. She was on the list. I was going to 15 call her, and now I may call her -- I may need to call her as a 16 rebuttal witness. 17 MR. BALOGH: If that were to happen, your Honor, we 18 would move immediately to quash that. She's an attorney. 19 Everything -- we've redacted every document she authored as 20 privileged, and there's never been an objection. 21 MS. DORAN: It's not true, your Honor. We have 22 documents relating to a conversation that she had with Rick McPherson where he took notes. We have the document. 23 It was 24 produced in discovery. I would be asking her about that. is a witness. 25

1	THE COURT: Has she entered her appearance?	
2	MR. BALOGH: No, but she's with the office of general	
3	counsel. She's the client here.	
4	MS. DORAN: She can be the client, but Mr. Minneyfield	
5	can't be here then.	
6	THE COURT: Well, we're talking about two things.	
7	We're talking about who can sit at counsel table, and I agree	
8	with you that it's sufficient if one person sits at counsel	
9	table. That will be Mr. Minneyfield.	
10	MR. BALOGH: That's correct, your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: Now we're talking about Caliman can remain	
12	in the courtroom Why don't you have her enter her appearance?	
13	MR. BALOGH: We can do that. Have her enter her	
14	appearance. This is her, your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: Ms. Caliman, nice to meet you.	
16	MS. CALIMAN: Good norning, your Honor.	
17	MR. MARTUCCI: That's fine, your Honor.	
18	THE COURT: All right. I'll have her enter her	
19	appearance. I'll give her leave to enter her appearance, and,	
20	if she does, then she can remain in the courtroom	
21	MR. BALOGH: We'll get that filed by the end of the	
22	day.	
23	MS. DORAN: Well, then I would just want my objection	
24	noted for the record, though, that she is a fact witness in this	
25	case, and I may need to call her.	

1	THE COURT: All right. And what's Mr. Minneyfield's	
2	office, position?	
3	MR. BALOGH: He is the paint area manager of the	
4	Belvidere Assembly Plant.	
5	MS. DORAN: Second shift.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Do the parties have any objection	
7	during my initial admonitions to the jury to say that Chrysler	
8	Group LLC is a limited liability is it company or	
9	corporation?	
10	MR. BALOGH: It's a company, your Honor. A limited	
11	liability company.	
12	THE COURT: And is entitled to the same consideration	
13	as if it were an individual.	
14	MR. BALOGH: Yes, we would urge it.	
15	THE COURT: All right. That's all I have. What else	
16	do you have?	
17	MR. BALOGH: One other thing, and I think I'm going to	
18	let Mr. Martucci handle that. That has to do with the opening	
19	statements.	
20	MR. MARTUCCI: We can wait on that until we select the	
21	jury, your Honor, unless you'd like to address it now.	
22	THE COURT: Might as well.	
23	MR. MARTUCCI: And that is the question about use of	
24	exhibits. My request would be that we don't use exhibits as	
25	such or the overhead during the opening. I say that for two	

reasons, really. One is --1 2 MS. DORAN: We have no objection to that. 3 Okay. That's fine. Thanks so much. MR. MARTUCCI: 4 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? I do want to just bring up two things, and 5 MS. DORAN: 6 I ought to have done this with you guys beforehand, but you can 7 We do have a protective order in this case, and I wanted to make sure that the court -- everyone was remembering 8 9 I know that there are documents that have Social Security 10 numbers on them I'm talking specifically about the Calvert 11 So, I just want to make sure that you guys are aware of stuff. 12 that and all that good stuff. 13 MR. MARTUCCI: We are very respectful of that, and 14 thanks for --15 MS. DORAN: I'm sure you are. And we have our own 16 confidential documents under protective order, which are the 17 therapy -- you know, which is in our binder. It's Exhibit 77. 18 I apologize. I know I'm talking fast. And so, that's it. 19 just wanted to sort of remind everyone that this protective 20 order is in place. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. DORAN: And then in terms of the jury instructions, 23 I think your Honor was going to think about 3.04. Has your 24 Honor rendered a decision? 25 THE COURT: Let me -- let's take it up later.

MS. DORAN: That's all I have. 1 Okay. 2 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you all just sit 3 back, relax, and let me take care of things for a little bit 4 here. I'll step down for three minutes. We'll bring the jury 5 i n. Everybody is ready, Ton? 6 THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yes. sir. 7 THE COURT: All right. And then we'll start. (Whereupon, jury selection commenced, and eight jurors were 8 9 selected to try the issues herein.) 10 (The following proceedings were had in open court, in the 11 presence and hearing of the jury:) 12 Ladies and gentlemen, from now on whenever THE COURT: **13** you come into the jury room as soon as your reach your chair, 14 just feel free to have a seat. You won't have to be invited to 15 sit down. 16 I want to introduce you all to Attorney Judith Caliman. 17 She's in the first row of the courtroom She is an attorney who 18 is a member of the office of general counsel for Chrysler Group 19 LLC. 20 Now that you have been sworn, I will give you some 21 preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation in 22 It is your duty to determine the facts in this case. the trial. 23 You will then have to apply the law to those facts. You must 24 follow my instructions on the law whether you agree with them or 25 not.

Nothing I say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate nor should it be taken by you as indicating what I think your verdict should be.

The evidence from which you will find the facts consists of the testimony of the witnesses, documents, and other things received into the record as exhibits and any facts the lawyers agree to or stipulate to or that the court may direct you to find.

From time to time during the case, I may give you instructions on the law that you are to follow and apply in deciding the case.

Statements and arguments by the lawyers are not evidence and must not be considered by you as evidence.

Objections to questions are not evidence and must not be considered by you as evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make an objection when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question and do not speculate on what the witness might have said or what the question implies. If the objection is overruled, treat the answer like any other.

If you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Testimony that the court has excluded, stricken, or

told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered by you as evidence. You must erase such testimony from your mind.

You are to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom Our system of law is based on the principle that a jury will decide the case on the evidence and the law that is applicable to the case, not allowing sympathy, prejudice, fear, or public opinion to influence its decision.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. The law makes no distinction as to the weight to be given either type of evidence. I will give you further instructions on these, as well as other matters, at the end of the case, but remember that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness' testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case.

Let me make a few comments on your conduct as jurors. First, during the trial you are not to discuss the case among

your presence. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you simply are not to talk about the case.

Do not read any newspaper articles or listen to any radio or television broadcasts relating to this case. If anyone should try to talk to you about this proceeding, bring that to my attention promptly. I understand that there was an article in this morning's paper in the Rockford Register Star about this case. Again, you're to refrain from seeing that article or any other article or any other newsprint or radio or television coverage that this case may receive. If you happen to see something in the newspaper, turn the page. If you happen to see something -- or hear something on the radio, turn it off or turn the channel. If you happen to see something on television, as soon as you recognize that it's about this case, turn the television off or turn the channel on the television.

Third, do not make any independent investigation of the case by reading materials, attempting any testing, or going to any location where any of the events in this case took place.

Fourth, if you recognize a witness, advise the court security officer immediately.

Finally, do not form any opinion until all the evidence has been presented, the attorneys have made their arguments, and I have instructed you on the law that you are to follow and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

apply in making your decision. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case. If any juror violates this rule, you must report that to me as soon as possible.

You may choose to take notes during the course of the You do not have to take notes. That is entirely up to I have no preference one way or the other. You may use you. your notes to refresh your memory at the appropriate time. notes are for your own use only. Do not use -- and not for any other juror's use. No one will be allowed to look at your If You should rely on your own memory of the evidence. your notes conflict with your memory or if someone else's notes conflict with your memory, you are free to use your memory of the evidence. Just because a juror has taken notes does not mean his or her memory of the evidence has any more weight or significance than the memory of a juror who has not taken notes.

Until you retire to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict, your notes will not leave this courtroom. At the end of the trial when you are discharged from further service in the case, the notes will be collected and destroyed. No one will be allowed to look at your notes before they are destroyed.

During the trial it may be necessary for me to confer with the attorneys out of your hearing in respect to matters of law and other matters that require consideration by the court alone. I will either send you back to the jury room or listen

When such conferences occur, they will be conducted so as to consume as little of your time as may be consistent with an orderly and fair disposition of this case. In order to conserve juror time, we frequently have these conferences when you are not in the courtroom at the beginning and at the end of the court day.

If at any time you cannot hear what is being said in court, please let me know immediately by raising your hand or by getting my attention by some other means. I will have a statement repeated, or I will have the court reporter read the statement back to you. It is imperative that you hear everything that is said during the trial.

Jurors are not permitted to ask questions of the witnesses. Please do not talk among yourselves during proceedings in court.

I also ask that you do not have any contact with the lawyers in this case or any of the parties. That includes any kind of conversation. I have asked the lawyers not to communicate with you. And so, if you see them around the building, if they don't talk to you, please don't think they are being rude, insensitive, or impolite. They are merely following my instructions.

We have exhibit books for all the jurors?

MR. MARTUCCI: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You will each be given an exhibit book. They are provided as a convenience to you with the expectation that they will make it easier for you to follow the evidence in the case. In other words, the parties have gone through the trouble of preparing an exhibit book for each of you as an accommodation or as an aid to assist you.

These books contain exhibits that will be used as evidence. It is possible that some of the exhibits in the book will not be used as evidence. It is also possible that I will allow into evidence other exhibits that are not contained in the book. The important thing for you to remember is that during the trial you must look only on those exhibits that I instruct you to turn to. Do not under any circumstances look on any exhibits that you are not directed to view.

And so, your book will have a lot of papers and documents, but it is very important that you not look or view any document until I tell you that it's okay for you to do so. Again, this is an accommodation for you. This is to help you. This is to make your job easier. I hope in return that you'll follow my instructions and refrain from looking at any document until I give you permission to do so.

The exhibit books will not leave the courtroom Write your name on your exhibit book. The exhibit books should be left on your seat when you leave the courtroom for breaks and recesses. You will be able to take the exhibit book back to the

jury room when you are allowed to deliberate on your verdict.

You are permitted to make notes on the exhibits, and you will be allowed to make any marks or notations on the exhibits that you wish. They are your exhibits. They're for your use, and you can use them as you see fit. But please keep in mind that you are not to look at an exhibit until directed to do so.

The trial will now begin. The plaintiff's attorney will make an opening statement. Next the defense attorney may, but not does have to make an opening statement. Opening statements are simply an outline to help you to understand the evidence. They are not evidence. They are not your instructions on the law.

After opening statements, the plaintiff will call its witnesses, and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine those witnesses. Following the plaintiff's case, the defendant may call witnesses whom the plaintiff may cross-examine. After all the evidence has been presented, the attorneys will give their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you, and then I will instruct you on the law. After that, you will retire to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

Please listen carefully to the witnesses when they testify. Although the court reporter is taking notes now, these are not immediately available in a verbatim transcription, and, therefore, you must remember the testimony for your

deliberations at the end of the case.

If you wish to take notes, the court security officer will give you a notebook. If you take a notebook, please write your name on the cover and place them on your seat whenever you leave the courtroom

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: All right, folks. Before we begin, I'm going to give you some stipulations. These are facts that the parties have agreed to that you may use in your deliberation and your evaluation of the evidence and in arriving at your verdict. These are facts that the parties have agreed are true.

First, plaintiff Otto May, Jr. has worked for Chrysler at its Belvidere Assembly Plant since 1988 as a pipefitter.

Two, Mr. May is a member of the United Auto Workers and the local for the Belvidere Assembly Plant, Local 1268. The terms and conditions of Mr. May's employment at the plant are covered by a collective bargaining agreement between the United Auto Workers and Chrysler.

Three, Mr. May is Hispanic, was born in Cuba, and moved to the United States as a young child. He is a Christian with a Jewish heritage and identifies himself as a Messianic Jew.

Fourth, there have been no incidents of vandalism notes, or graffiti since December 9th, 2005.

Okay. We're going to proceed with opening statements after lunch. I'm going to give you a break for lunch. We'll

come back at quarter to 2:00, and at that time the parties will be able to give you their opening statements.

Again I want to admonish you that as jurors in this case, you're not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else or permit anyone to discuss it in your presence. Refrain from seeing or hearing any media accounts of the trial while it's in progress. Do not do any independent investigation by doing any research or testing or reading or going to any location where any of the events in this case took place. If someone tries to contact you about this case, either directly or indirectly, please notify me immediately.

Have a good lunch. We'll see you at quarter to 2:00.

Mr. Ferguson will tell you where to assemble.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, out of the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right. Let me address a few quick matters for you. As to jury instruction 3.04, as I said during our -- please have a seat. As I said during our instruction conference, my preference is to use pattern jury instructions when they are applicable.

I do not believe that the court has ruled in this case that the plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment. As far as the defendant's concession, it was only during the court's consideration of the motion for summary judgment that the defendant conceded that the behavior had been both severe

and pervasive, but that was only for purposes of the motion for 1 2 summary judgment. I don't think that that concession carried 3 through for the rest of the trial. 4 In Judge Reinhard's order on the motion for summary 5 judgment, he stated that the defendant does not dispute --6 again, in my opinion, for purposes of the motion -- nor could 7 it, realistically, that these events were severe and pervasive and objectively and subjectively hostile. I don't think that 8 9 that order or that language relieved the plaintiff of its burden 10 to prove those things. And so, I hope that clears up our 11 discussion we had on 3.04. 12 As to defendant's objection to Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 13 through 5, I'm going to grant that motion. I'll give you a 14 written order after lunch, and I'll explain in the written order 15 why, but I want to let you know beforehand that I'm going to 16 grant the objection to those exhibits. It doesn't mean that the 17 plaintiff won't be able to go into the areas covered by the 18 exhibits, but for reasons that I'll explain in my order, I think 19 the objection is well placed. 20 All right. Anything else? **Conference room your Honor?** 21 MR. BALOGH: 22 THE COURT: Yes. I'll have Tim help you out with that, 23 and we'll start at quarter to 2:00. 24 (Whereupon, the within trial was recessed to 1:45 o'clock

p. m of the same day.)

1		D STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2		TERN DIVISION
3	OTTO MAY, JR.,	) Docket No. 02 C 50440
4	Plaintiff,	) Rockford, Illinois ) Wednesday, August 25, 2010
5	v.	) 1: 45 o' clock a. m
6	CHRYSLER GROUP LLC,	
7	Defendant.	j ,
8		VOLUME 1
9		SCRIPT OF TRIAL FREDERICK J. KAPALA, and a jury
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Plaintiff:	MS. KAREN J. DORAN
12		(20 Danada Square West, Suite 149, Wheaton, IL 60189)
13		MEDINA LAW
14		(171 S. Oak Park Avenue,
15		Oak Park, IL 60302) by MS. DEANNE S. MEDINA
16	For the Defendant:	WILLIAMS MCCARTHY LLP (120 W State Street,
17		Suite 400, Rockford, IL 61101) by
18		MR. STEPHEN E. BALOGH
19		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON (2555 Grand Boulevard,
20		Suite 2800, Kansas City, MD 64108-6550) by
21		MR. WILLIAM C. MARTUCCI MS. KRISTEN A. PAGE
22	Also Present:	MR. ANTHONY MINNEYFIELD
23	Court Reporter:	Mary T. Lindbloom
24		211 South Court Street Rockford, Illinois 61101
25		<b>(815) 987-4486</b>

```
(The following proceedings were had in open court, out of
 1
2
           the presence and hearing of the jury:)
 3
                               Your Honor, we both wanted to compliment
                MR. MARTUCCI:
 4
             I'm really serious. You asked a ton of questions quickly,
      and we got through that process really well, and I think we're
 5
 6
      both really thankful.
 7
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you very much.
                MR. MARTUCCI:
                               And I don't think that's an easy
 8
9
      assignment.
10
                MS. DORAN:
                            It was interesting and -- this was nice.
11
                THE COURT:
                                   I'm glad you -- I'm glad you're
                            Good.
12
      glad.
13
                Jim would you give copies of the order to both sides?
14
                MS. DORAN:
                            What's that?
15
                THE COURT:
                            I asked the CSO to give you copies of the
16
      order.
17
           (Brief pause.)
18
                THE COURT: Mr. Martucci, where are your friends?
                               Your Honor, I think they'll be here any
19
                MR. MARTUCCI:
20
      mi nute.
                I did ask them to come back at 1:30.
                                                       So, I'm
21
      surpri sed.
22
                THE COURT:
                            All right. Maybe they got delayed through
      the netal detector.
23
24
                MR. MARTUCCI:
                               Should I explore the hallways, your
25
      Honor?
```

1	THE COURT: No.	
2	MS. DORAN: There he is.	
3	THE COURT: All right. Ready for the jury?	
4	MS. MEDINA: We have one	
5	MR. BALOGH: Yes, your Honor.	
6	MS. MEDINA: We have one issue that we discussed with	
7	Mr. Martucci. We wanted to go ahead and see if we could admit	
8	Plaintiff's Exhibits 6 through 77 and	
9	MR. MARTUCCI: Your Honor, we're comfortable with that.	
10	I realize it will probably come after the openings, but those	
11	are admissible by agreement.	
12	MS. DORAN: Oh, yes.	
13	THE COURT: All right. So, you won't require any	
14	evidence as to authenticity or foundation or admissibility.	
15	MR. MARTUCCI: That's correct, your Honor.	
16	THE COURT: All right. Great.	
17	MR. MARTUCCI: And plaintiff's counsel has done the	
18	same with respect to our exhibits. So, I think things will move	
19	rather quickly.	
20	THE COURT: Wonderful. Glad to hear it. Thank you for	
21	your efforts in collaborating that way.	
22	All right, Jim I guess we're ready.	
23	MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, there is one more exhibit	
24	binder that we haven't given you yet. And Defendant's 2 is that	
25	big thing that's turned backwards right now.	

## **Doran - Opening Statement**

1 THE COURT: All right.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. As promised, the attorneys will now give their opening statements to you. I want to remind you that opening statements are not evidence and should not be considered by you as evidence. Opening statements are a summary by the attorneys of what they expect the evidence will show in this case.

Attorney Doran, you may address the jury.

MS. DORAN: Thank you, your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

MS. DORAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Karen Doran. I'm an attorney, and along with Deanne Medina, we represent the plaintiff in this case, Otto May, Jr.

This case is about responsibility. Chrysler's duty to Otto, Mr. May, was to take steps that were likely to prevent or stop harassment. Today you'll find out that Mr. May was subjected to three and a half years of some horrific harassment that took the form of graffiti, of notes, death threats, vandalism, crank calls, and various and other things. You'll find out that the graffiti and the death threats and the notes were found around Mr. May's work area as a pipefitter over at Chrysler's Belvidere plant off of 90. You'll find out that this stuff showed up in the elevators, in the stairwells, on his

## **Doran - Opening Statement**

lockers, on his toolbox, on his clothes, on his lunchbox, on walls, doors, stairwells, break rooms, file cabinets, restrooms.

Chrysler doesn't dispute it. This is not what that case is about. This case isn't about, well, this stuff never really happened. Chrysler is not going to get up here and try to convince you that it never happened. We don't think that you're going to have any problem at all finding that Mr. May was subjected to harassment, hostile work environment because of his -- that was based on his ethnicity, his national origin, and his religion.

As you heard Judge Kapala tell you earlier, we have some stipulated facts. One of those facts is that Mr. May was born in Cuba, came over to this country, became an American citizen. He is Hispanic, and his family is of Jewish heritage. They come from Germany.

What this case is about is about responsibility. What was Chrysler supposed to do about this horrific three and a half year nightmare. What did they do. They made a binder. Here it is. You will get a chance to look at the binder and read what's in the binder. They had an antidiscrimination policy. You'll also get a chance to read the antidiscrimination policy, and you're probably going to find that policy is pretty familiar to you. You guys have all talked about the various jobs that you've had. I'm guessing that you've seen an antidiscrimination policy that's pretty similar to the one that Chrysler is going

## **Doran - Opening Statement**

to show you. They'll show you that under their policy their employees had a duty, a responsibility to them, to put them on notice if their employees felt that they were being harassed or discriminated against illegally.

But what about Chrysler's responsibility to its employees. That's what this case is about. We anticipate that they'll tell you that their HR director, a fellow by the name of Richard McPherson, spoke with about 50 or so employees at Chrysler sometime shortly after the graffiti and the death threats began in 2002 and told these guys -- reminded these guys of the antidiscrimination policy, the policy that I just talked about. We ask that you think about your own personal experiences when you're listening to the testimony and taking a look at the documents. Ask yourselves, "Is that enough?" Do you think that that was likely to stop and prevent the harassment.

They'll also tell you that Mr. Balogh over here, their attorney, hired a handwriting analyzer, a fellow by the name of Jack Calvert. We want you to pay attention to which samples they gave Mr. Calvert. Out of all of the graffiti, of the notes, of the death threats, which ones did they give to the handwriting analyzer to try to figure out who was doing this. We also want you to consider what handwriting samples of their employees they gave to the handwriting analyzer and ask yourselves why some and not others. We want you to ask

## **Doran - Opening Statement**

yourselves when you're considering that evidence was that responsible of Chrysler. Did they do the responsible thing. Did they do what they ought to have done.

You won't get any evidence that Chrysler acted on the handwriting analyzer's opinions or conclusions. In fact, honestly, as we stand here today in 2010 -- and, like I said, this stuff started in '02 and went for three and a half years. As we stand here today, we don't know who did it because, quite frankly, no one's going to put their signature on what you're going to see during this trial. No one put their signature on the graffiti, on the death threats, on the notes. So, we don't know who did it.

So, we want you to consider, when they're talking to you about this handwriting analyzer, when they present that evidence, we want you to think about whether Chrysler took any steps subsequent to that act. Not the act itself, not just hiring Mr. Calvert. Did they take any steps afterwards to stop or prevent the harassment. That's what this case is about. Responsibility.

We also want you to consider what the company didn't do. Ladies and gentlemen, Chrysler did not put cameras up. It refused to put cameras up. It failed to beef up security. It chose not to interview suspects. Did Chrysler do what it ought to have done.

This is a case about responsibility. We would like you

## **Doran - Opening Statement**

to compare Otto's actions with those of Chrysler's. You're going to find out that Otto complained. He told his bosses. He told HR. He told labor relations. He told corporate diversity, the folks out in Detroit. The man wrote a letter to the muckety-mucks out in Germany when Chrysler was owned by DaimlerChrysler. He told everybody, begging for help. Please stop this. This has got to stop. Ask yourselves what Chrysler did. Did they take any steps that were reasonably likely to stop or prevent this horrible harassment.

You know, Otto didn't stop there. He didn't just complain to Chrysler. He went to the Belvidere Police Department. He got the FBI involved. He called the Anti-Defamation League. He went to the EEOC. He went to the papers. He told everybody. Look at what's happening to me. Someone help me make this stop. According to their policy, he did what he was supposed to do. He notified his employer.

What did Chrysler do. Ladies and gentlemen, that is the question of the hour, and that is up to you to decide. After you hear the evidence, you're going to look at the documents. You people will sit down together and decide whether Chrysler took reasonable steps that were likely to stop or prevent the harassment. We think you'll find they did not.

Thank you very much for your time. We appreciate that.

THE COURT: Mr. Martucci, you may open to the jury.

MR. MARTUCCI: Yes, your Honor.

# Martucci - Opening Statement

### OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

MR. MARTUCCI: We cared. We tried. We really tried. We dedicated more time, more effort, more focus, more analysis to this than virtually any single employment issue that had come up at this facility here at the Belvidere Assembly facility. Those are the words of Rick McPherson and Kim Kuborn, the folks that were deeply involved in trying to stop this and make sure that Chrysler's policy preventing harassment, stopping harassment was alive and well and that this was a good work environment.

Special arrangements had been made for Otto May to park in the east salary lot. Those are the comments of those in security who moved Mr. May's car from one spot to another so that any issues with the car would stop, and they virtually did, with the exception of one issue that happened sometime thereafter.

A quote from Tom Harvey, another Chrysler manager/supervisor you'll hear from At approximately 7:00 a.m this morning, Tony Minneyfield notified Craig Anderson, another supervisor you'll hear from of a graffiti event. Craig notified me immediately. I took pictures as soon as I could acquire a camera. By 7:20 a.m., 20 minutes later, the graffiti had been removed. Tony has been doing regular inspections of the booth area and indicates he did not see this graffiti until today.

# Martucci - Opening Statement

Another quote. Steve Hughes, manager who came in in 2003, spoke to the group that Mr. May was a part of. I went to 0tto. I talked to 0tto. I reassured 0tto that we would do our best to find this person, and when we find this person, we'll fire them

George Bertone, another manager there. The writing.

The writing. It seems to be the same every time. Can we check handwriting of some or all of the skilled trades employees? We need to stop this from happening. We need to stop it now.

This focus, this book, the Kim Kuborn book, if you will, of various incidents, of interviews, of efforts to stop it, to find out what was going on, Kim Kuborn will tell us this essentially became her job. That in the human resources area and then later when she moved into the labor relations area, this truly became the focus of her job. Perhaps a third or a fourth of her time was spent every day trying to figure out what happened here. She will say we cared. We really tried. We wanted this to stop. This is our place of work. We worked hard to establish a good environment, and we want to maintain it. We've got policies. We've got training. We've had discussions. This must stop.

These are all the words of the many people who worked at the Belvidere Assembly Plant. They tried hard to figure out what was going on, how could they stop it. These words express some of the thoughts, some of the steps they undertook to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

**13** 

14

**15** 

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Martucci - Opening Statement

address the issue, to ensure that the activity stopped. And, frankly, they didn't stop caring. They kept trying and eventually -- far too long, but eventually it did stop, and it stopped in large part, if not entirely, because of their efforts.

Ladies and gentlemen, good afternoon. Thanks for being with us. We deeply appreciate your service. My name is Bill Martucci. With me here is Tony Minneyfield, who works at the BAP, the Chrysler facility, and has for a number of years, Steve Balogh, Kristen Page, and Judith Caliman. And we're here to give you the broadest perspective we can as to what the facts are so you can come to your conclusion that, in fact, reasonable steps were taken to stop this, but it is unfortunate that it happened.

It's a privilege to be here to give you insights into what happened. It's a remarkable facility. It's a world class And the people there who you'll meet, who will sit on this witness stand, you can decide. They cared. They tried. They really tried. They dedicated more time and focus. This This wasn't what they wanted to have happen. drove them nuts. They'd like to see cars go off the assembly line. They don't want to have to call at 7:00 a.m and get somebody down to sort of wipe something clean at 7:20. They did it. They're thankful to do it. But why was this happening. And their efforts did pay off because it did stop.

At the outset I want to say some things that you're likely to hear. This focus here is 2002 to 2005, and the things that happened were not what anybody would want to have happen in a workplace. But the people at Chrysler didn't want it to happen, either. They cared about the workplace. They cared And they wanted it to stop. about Otto May. They were committed as individuals and as a team to make sure it stopped. Through their efforts, they made many, many continuing steps to coordinate things, and it did stop in 2005. There were moments of frustration, but as we take you through what they did, you'll see through the testimony that they narrowed, narrowed, narrowed who the suspect was, and it stopped.

Now, let's keep in mind a couple of things. Otto May still works at the Belvidere Assembly Plant today. He's worked there consistently through this period of 2002 through 2005. He works there today. He hasn't lost a day's pay as a result of this.

By way of overview, I want to say a little bit about the Belvidere Assembly Plant. You probably have a pretty good sense of it. It is a remarkable facility. It's not far from here. As you know, it's located on I-90 in Belvidere, and it's quite an operation. It employs more than a thousand people today. It began in 1965. It's a massive facility. If you were to drive around the perimeter alone, it takes a fair period of time. It's been said that perhaps there's approximately

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

**13** 

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Martucci - Opening Statement

four million square feet within the facility, and almost as many as 20 miles of conveyor belt run through it. At this time the Dodge Caliber, the Jeep Patriot, and the Jeep Compass are being assembled and made there. In the past the Dodge Neon has been made there. And there are plans for the future that are kind of exciting.

Who's Otto May. Well, let's start out saying that Otto May is someone that Chrysler cares about. He's worked there since 1988. He's been a pipefitter. He works in the maintenance department, and that means that he works on maintaining the machines that assemble the cars. As a maintenance employee, Mr. May has access to the entire plant and could work in any area of the BAP, and for sure he's worked in different departments at different times. You'll find out that when this started, he was pretty much focused on the paint department. Later he transferred to the assembly area. But he could work throughout the entire facility.

The paint department, as the description paint department might imply, is such that that's where the cars are painted. For much of the time, that's where a lot of these kinds of -- he was stationed there when a lot of these incidents happened. In terms of its size and physical location, Tony Minneyfield will tell us it is broken up. It is spaced apart. There's nooks and crannies. It's got all kinds of things everywhere, different levels. It's quite an operation. It

wouldn't be easy, frankly, to just go, "Bingo. Here we're going to place a guard or a camera or anything like that."

At this point you've heard from Otto May's lawyer about some of the incidents that took place at the BAP, and you're going to hear a lot more about specific incidents. But you're also going to hear a lot from Chrysler about what they did in response to these. For now I'd like to just highlight some of the key issues and considerations that were addressed by the people at Chrysler at the time these incidents were going on. They followed through again and again. They cared. They wanted to make it stop. You're going to hear about their experiences, their efforts to make it stop, and you're going to hear it did stop.

You're going to hear and you're going to meet Kim Kuborn. She worked in human resources at the BAP. Kim Kuborn, by the time we're done, is going to be a name you're going to know so well. And this is the Kuborn book. Kuborn and McPherson worked together as a team to try to ensure that this would stop, and they consulted with many, many individuals within the BAP and at Auburn Hills, Michigan, the Detroit, Michigan, area where Chrysler headquarters is.

The Kim Kuborn story is one that may be noving to you. She started there out of high school. She worked her way up through college and into management, and she worked there a number of years. She, with her coworkers, established a

protocol. So, what do we do if we see something up on the wall?
What do we do if an incident happens? We've got to protect
Otto. Otto's one of us. We got to make sure we got a good
environment.

So, they established that they would photograph whatever was the graffiti or note or whatever, that they would ensure that it was removed or taken down immediately, that they would report it to human resources and keep track of it, and they would gather information about who located it, where it appeared, when it appeared, and who had access to that area, trying to narrow, narrow down the 1,000 potential folks, narrow, narrow down to who may be the person who was involved in this activity. The Kuborn binder was essential to that process.

Now, you're going to hear a lot of other names, as well. You're going to hear Rick McPherson because Rick McPherson was the person who in 2000 became in charge, if you will, of human resources and labor relations, and he worked carefully with Kim But there were names before Rick McPherson. For example, you're going to see in some of these memorandums that we go through a name Bob Kertz, who was deeply involved in labor relations. You're going to see a name Zach Budden. Both of these gentlemen have memos that are very instructive in terms of the efforts that were undertaken here.

But McPherson is a hard driver, and he wanted this to stop, and he worked with Kim, and he worked with others to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Martucci - Opening Statement

ensure that they could do the best that they could. Now, they consulted with the folks in Auburn Hills. In a lot of ways, this is a beautiful story about America, corporations trying to make it work. They put together people from security, from the office of general counsel, in which Ms. Caliman serves, human resources, what they call corporate diversity that does some of their investigations, and they tried to put their heads together. Labor relations. How do we best go about this.

Well, one of the first things that they thought about is Rick McPherson will have a town hall meeting, and he'll meet with all the people there that work in this general area -- not 1,000, but more focused than that -- and explain to them this is our policy. This means a lot to us. We respect people. stuff is intolerable. Whoever is doing this will be fired. He did that with the first shift. He did it with the Bang. second shift. He did it with the third shift. Mr. May was This was support for Otto May. And it was done in such a way so it wouldn't be clear that it was Otto May that it was about, but it would be more generally done. The policy was circulated and distributed to others. Training was held during the normal course. The training continued.

This meeting -- we'll ask McPherson. Well,
Mr. McPherson, how many times did you have these meetings?
Well, I worked there nine years. I had two such meetings. One time for this, all three shifts, one time for an OSHA matter.

It was a big deal to have this kind of meeting. Do you think it was effective? I thought the message got through, but I was surprised we didn't hear more about this.

But during this time of much activity -- 2002, 2003, all the way to '05, but really '04 it tapered down a great deal, '05, thank goodness, it ended -- Kim Kuborn and Rick McPherson met virtually every day. They brainstormed. How do we make it end. They looked at every aspect that they could consider. And they collaborated, as I said, with colleagues at Auburn Hills. They wanted to make sure people knew about this. Everyone who was involved in this -- Tony Minneyfield, Rick McPherson, Kim Kuborn, Scott Huller -- they had motivation to stop it. They had motivation to have a good workplace. They had motivation to have cars coming off the line. They wanted this to stop.

Along with Kim Kuborn and Rick McPherson, you'll meet a number of managers and supervisors from the Belvidere Assembly Plant. These are the people on the ground, if you will, who worked alongside Otto May. This is no number thing. This is Otto May. They know Otto May. Otto, how can we help. We care about this. Otto, please know what we're doing. We're taking pictures. We're putting them in a book. We're trying to figure out what's going on. We're talking to the people who may have had access.

You're going to meet in the flesh, if you will, real time, George Bertone, Craig Anderson, Tom Harvey, Tony

Minneyfield, Steve Hughes, just some of the people out there that will tell you they cared. Every one of them will say gosh, I knew the protocol. I took a picture. I got down to Kim Kuborn. I tried to figure out what was going on. I had ideas on what we might consider doing and some things that we, in fact, did do. And they did this because they thought it was the right thing to do. They did it because they are responsible.

This case isn't about some company or some group or some amorphous entity. This is about the people who sit on that stand. Did they care. Did they do something. They did. They took steps. For example, when Steve Hughes came in as the manager of the area, he held a meeting, and he made it clear that the commitment to the no harassment policy was the way it was, that he wanted to ensure that whoever committed this was going to be found and, in fact, dismissed.

Now, as you listen to Otto May and the people at Chrysler talk about these incidents of graffiti and notes, you'll come to understand that, frankly, throughout the BAP, this area that truly -- nearly 20 miles of conveyor belt, nearly four million square feet, that these were in a variety of places. Admittedly, some were in his toolbox, some were close to where he worked, but many other places, as well. They were in remote areas, as Tony Minneyfield and others will tell us. They were in hidden areas. They were in strange areas. They were in different departments and nooks and crannies that are

just part of this large manufacturing facility like the BAP.
Who cares? We care because it made it really tough. If you're going to have cameras, where do you put them If you're going to have security, where do you put them If you're going to have an undercover person, where does he or she go.

And as I mentioned earlier, Otto May himself as a maintenance employee had access to the entire plant and could work in any area of the BAP, and, in fact, he often worked the third shift, where there weren't as many people around.

But notwithstanding these challenges, major challenges, the team both the corporate team and the local team, considered many options. They considered -- for example, you'll hear from McPherson and Kuborn a variety of things they considered, but they considered an undercover operation. Frankly, there hasn't been that much turnover at the Belvidere Assembly Plant. And if you have contractors, you've got to notify the union a contractor outside is coming in. They didn't think they could do it in a way that would be secretive, that would be effective. And, frankly, they just thought it wouldn't work.

They considered cameras. This is 2010. Remember, the facts here start back in 2002. They really thought about cameras. They struggled with it. They thought it was easier said than done. Due to the feasibility issues of that plant, the way things were laid out, they didn't think cameras would work. They were concerned about their labor relations issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Martucci - Opening Statement

There are cameras that come in as you walk in the facility, and there are gate rings that say when you come in, but there are no cameras in the facility. They went to the union, Rick McPherson will say. The union said no.

In fairness, it probably wouldn't have worked, anyway, but they did explore it. Even Otto May, we'll find from notes of these documents, had reservations at one time early on about whether cameras would work. He, in fact, said to one of the individuals who talked with him early on collaborating how do we make it stop that, in fact, he thought that cameras would just be torn down by the workers as soon as they went up. nonetheless, respectful of Otto's thinking on it, they did explore cameras. They concluded it would not work because this facility is so broad, the events were in so many different places, they had to consult, they believed, with the union, and the union said no, and they didn't think they could pull it off effectively in a secretive kind of way. And they were concerned about the reaction on the floor, to be honest about it. other words, do people want cameras on them I realize there's cameras in various environments, but in 2002 there were not cameras in the facility at Chrysler, and they didn't think it would work.

But Chrysler wasn't stopping there. They devoted time to other efforts. They established a protocol early on for dealing with each incident. As I said, photograph it, get it

removed, paint it over quickly, interview witnesses, investigate to learn the timing and who had access to that area. And you're going to hear Ms. Kuborn speak about that a great deal. They held meetings with employees to explain that the conduct wouldn't be tolerated. That was done by McPherson, and it was later done by Steve Hughes.

They involved a number of people in the effort. They included corporate. They partnered with corporate. They got security involved at the local level. They got labor relations They got human resources involved. They got top management at the BAP. And they went to corporate. They got corporate security. They got corporate diversity. They got corporate labor relations. They got the office of general counsel. And they all wanted to figure out how could they make it stop.

Now, they acted promptly every time something happened. There's no question about that. And it was clear that it was against the policy. And they cleaned it up. So, many of these things would not have been seen by more than a person or two. They conducted interviews as to anybody who was there to ask them what they had seen, when they had seen it, did they see anybody else there.

And later in January of 2003, Scott Huller came from corporate diversity and interviewed a number of people and spoke specifically with Otto May, and he asked Otto May if he would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Martucci - Opening Statement

give him names of individuals that he thinks he may suspect.
Well, in the first meeting that took place for three hours, Otto
May didn't give any names, but on the second occasion he did
give some names, and those names were considered. Those names,
in fact, were considered in a way that permitted Chrysler to
narrow down the people who might have been suspects. As they
looked through the list, they could tell who was in a work area
and who wasn't, so they could eliminate people.

But they thought, you know, frankly, in addition to restating our policy, putting into place this protocol, the other kinds of things we considered, we need to work with the local police. So, they worked with the local police. The local police even reached out to the FBI. Chrysler actually sent out a lot of information to a forensic lab trying to get as much as they could to solve the problem

And when the police were unable to solve it, Chrysler hired a forensic document examiner named Jack Calvert because the thought was that these notes that we'll see seemed pretty similar, and the graffiti seemed pretty similar, if you look at some of those loops and those kinds of things. So, perhaps if we figure out who it is, maybe we can find other writings and narrow down suspects and, frankly, find the person.

Who is Jack Calvert. He was in law enforcement with the Michigan State Police for a number of years. He worked at the national level for the IRS on their handwriting examination 

## Martucci - Opening Statement

process. He is very well versed in this area. He got original documents from Kim Kuborn, from Rick McPherson, from Scott Huller, and he examined those documents, and he was able to narrow, narrow, narrow, narrow down the number of people who might be responsible for this. You'll hear from him And, frankly, I think you'll be intrigued by the kinds of concerns that he had and some of the conclusions that he drew.

But that isn't all that Chrysler did. People like Tony Minneyfield and other supervisors and managers and those who were in labor relations and HR and security, they did beef up the patrols of that area. They did walk around more. They were on the lookout. And people were finding things occasionally, and we'll find from the evidence that from 2002 to 2003 to 2004 to 2005, activity declined, and then it went away. But remember, Chrysler couldn't figure it out in the end, but neither could the Belvidere Police Department, neither could the FBI, neither could the forensic lab.

Now, who's Otto May. Well, Otto May had a voice in this, and it's an intriguing part of the case because this case is as much about Otto May as it is about the people at Chrysler. Otto May has the ability to speak up on things. He often was the one who found the graffiti, who found the notes, and, frankly, he often pursued his own path. Early on Otto May decided he was going to retain his own lawyer, file his own lawsuit, contact outside organizations. He wanted to have his

own voice. This is America. That's fine. But you're going to find that he was consulted by many people at Chrysler on many occasions asking him to help the BAP in the effort to stop it. Responsibility, as we know, here and throughout the world is a two-way street.

Bob Kertz, that name I mentioned who was in labor relations way back in 2002, we'll find spent a great deal of time based on notes that we'll see that will clearly be before us talking with Otto May in trying to establish in the early days leads to the activity. And Bob Kertz writes that he mentioned to May he was troubled with the fact that May would not reveal the names of those he felt could be responsible. Kertz continued to ask May to reconsider.

In the September 2002 discussion that Bob Kertz had with Otto May, Kertz inquired if May had any recent problems with his vehicles, which he did early on in, say, May of 2002, and May indicated he hadn't, and he thought that those responsible for the vandalism to his car were now out of the plant. The employees were either on layoff or just out of the plant. And that was September 2002.

Unfortunately, on other situations Otto May wasn't very helpful, either. As I mentioned, he eventually did give information to Scott Huller, but the first time he met with Scott Huller, who came from Auburn Hills diversity, he told Scott Huller, quote, as it appears in Scott Huller's memo, "I'm

not going to hand you someone on a silver platter."

Despite this, Chrysler management continued. They cared about Otto. If Otto had some reservations about sharing information, they had to respect that. And, fortunately, as I said, the activity did reduce, and it ended in 2005. Otto May has worked at the facility every day since that he's been eligible to work, including a great deal of overtime, and there was never any break in his work at the BAP.

And, finally, as I said, you may ask who is Otto May. How does he see the world. Well, as part of this case, we've had Otto May discuss how he sees the world with a psychiatrist, and when she testifies, Dr. Griffin will provide some insights that will help us understand how from Mr. May's perspective things are such that there may be a certain tendency to distort, there may be a tendency to misunderstand the desire of other people to be helpful.

Did Chrysler reasonably undertake steps intended to stop the harassment? It did. It did through many people that you'll see and you'll hear. You'll be astonished with the purpose and the dedication with which they focused on this. You'll find that they really did care, that they tried, that they put a great deal of effort into it, and that it did stop.

Please know we regret that anything would ever happen to Otto May that would be a bad thing at the Belvidere Assembly facility. We really do. We care about Otto May. He's worked

### Martucci - Opening Statement

there since 1988. We know Otto May. Tony Minneyfield said hello to Otto May today. They've got a good working relationship.

We regret that some things are up on the wall and notes. These are not pretty things. These are ugly. But we also believe that we did everything we could that was reasonable within the context and the confines of what we could do to stop it, and we are thankful it stopped. And we're thankful for your time.

In the end, this is a story about people exhibiting responsibility, about caring, about making it stop, and you'll find through their testimony, as the items narrow down, that they were so very happy that in 2005 this ended and that Otto May has continued to work there without incident for these last five years.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you so much for your attention.

THE COURT: Plaintiff may proceed with his first witness.

MS. DORAN: Your Honor, the plaintiff calls Otto May, Jr.

THE COURT: Mr. May, please face me and raise your right hand.

(Witness duly sworn.)

THE COURT: Take a seat at the witness stand.

	May - Direct
1	OTTO MAY, JR., PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. DORAN:
4	Q. Good afternoon, Otto.
5	A. Good afternoon.
6	Q. You have some water there? Are you all set?
7	A. All set.
8	Q. I wanted to start out by talking to you a little bit about
9	your background so that the jury can understand who you are and
10	what's going on here. Where were you born?
11	A. I was born in Cuba in a suburb of Havana called El Vedado.
12	Q. Okay. And when did you come to the United States?
13	A. It was September of 1961.
14	Q. How old were you?
15	A. I had just turned eleven years old.
16	Q. How old are you now?
17	A. I just turned 60.
18	Q. And how did you get here?
19	A. Well, at that time Pan Am was flying back and forth making
20	trips from Havana into Miami.
21	Q. Who did you come over with?
22	A. Came over with my two brothers.
23	Q. Were they older or younger?
24	A. They were younger than I was.

Where were your parents?

- 1 A. Well, my parents stayed behind because they wanted to get us
- 2 out of Cuba at that time before school started because they had
- a program that they were going to instill as far as brainwashing
- 4 and indoctrination. So, they wanted to get us out as quickly as
- 5 possible.
- 6 Q. So, this is right after the Castro takeover?
- 7 A. No. Castro took over in 1959, but it took him a little bit
- 8 of time to just start instilling his will into businesses and
- 9 the people, etc., etc.
- 10 Q. I see. And who did you stay with as a young boy when you
- 11 came over here to Florida?
- 12 A. After the three of us got here, they placed us -- we already
- 13 had family here. So, each one of us went to like an uncle or an
- aunt that were already living here. So, we were separated.
- 15 Q. And how long were you separated from your family?
- 16 A. We were separated from -- I was separated from my parents
- and my two brothers roughly about six months.
- 18 Q. And then what happened?
- 19 A. My parents came over in February of 1962.
- Q. And then your family settled in Miami?
- 21 A. My family settled in Miami Beach, Florida.
- Q. Okay. Now, tell us a little bit about your family history.
- You have what I consider to be sort of an unusual name. Otto.
- 24 What's your background?
- 25 A. Well, that comes from the old country, what we say old

- 1 country, from Germany, and my grandfather was born in Fuhrt,
- 2 Germany, and his job was to deliver kosher meat around town
- 3 because his father was a kosher butcher.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, your family was Jewish?
- 5 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And then your grandfather moved to Cuba?
- 7 A. In 1911, I believe, as he had told me, he left Germany and
- 8 ended up in Cuba after a couple of stops someplace else.
- 9 Q. Okay. But eventually the family sort of settled in Cuba?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And did your grandfather marry a Jewish gal?
- 12 A. No. He married a Cuban lady.
- 13 Q. Okay. And she was Protestant?
- A. I believe so because I know my father told me he was raised
- 15 more of a Protestant, and the college he went to was Candler
- 16 College in Havana.
- 17 Q. I see. And did your father marry a Protestant?
- 18 A. No, I don't believe so. My nom was from -- she was born in
- 19 Asturias, Spain. So, predominantly it's -- not to say that
- 20 there isn't other religions there, but predominantly it's a
- 21 Catholic country.
- Q. I see. So, your mother was a Catholic?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And you've been married, right?
- 25 A. You can say that.

- 1 Q. How many times have you been married, Otto?
- 2 A. I guess I'm a glutton for punishment. Four times.
- 3 Q. Okay. And your first wife, you married her when you were
- 4 how old?
- 5 A. I was 17 years old.
- 6 Q. Okay. Were you still in school?
- 7 A. Yes, because she -- we had a little accident, you know. She
- 8 was expecting. So, I was still in high school.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, you married her?
- 10 A. Yes, I got married to her.
- 11 Q. Okay. And was she a Catholic or a Protestant, or what was
- 12 her religion?
- 13 A. No. Her nom was a Holocaust survivor from one of the
- 14 concentration camps, and even though I had the Jewish lineage
- going back, because I wasn't really raised as a Jew, she wanted
- 16 me to convert before marrying her daughter. So, I had to go
- 17 through some classes and convert to Judaism at that time, and
- 18 that was back in '68.
- 19 Q. And did you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned that you got married when you were still in
- school. Did you graduate high school?
- 23 A. At that time I don't know about the rest of the country, but
- down in Miami Beach, Florida, Miami Beach High, you were not
- 25 allowed to continue school if you were married. So, I was told

- 1 I could no longer attend school. Neither could she.
- Q. So, you chose to marry this girl rather than graduate from
- 3 high school?
- 4 A. It was the proper thing to do at the time.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you have a high school diploma?
- 6 A. I got my GED later on, a couple of years later.
- 7 Q. And right now who is your employer?
- 8 A. My employer is Chrysler Corporation.
- 9 Q. Okay. And how long have you been working for Chrysler?
- 10 A. I started there back in January of 1988. That's my
- 11 seniority date is January 18th, 1988.
- 12 Q. Okay. And you're in the UAW?
- 13 A. Yes, I am
- 14 Q. And that's because you're a pipefitter, right?
- 15 A. Well, I was a pipefitter welder on the outside, and that's
- 16 how I got in. I was already a journeyman.
- 17 Q. Okay. Well, can you explain to the jury what a journeyman
- 18 pipefitter does for Chrysler?
- 19 A. What it does for Chrysler?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Well, there's all kinds of different jobs because each area,
- 22 the equipment is so different. Like you go to the paint shop,
- and you have paint robots. Well, you go to body shop, and
- everything is completely different. You go to assembly, that's
- where they put the cars together on the way out the door. So,

the equipment is completely different.

So, you have to be kind of specialized in order to work on all the different equipment within the plant. So, I mean, you just can't take a pipefitter and take him from one area to the other and say fix this equipment that he's never seen in his life because it takes specialized skill. You have to be able to know the equipment, troubleshoot it in order to fix it.

- Q. So, as a pipefitter, your job is to maintain and fix equipment for Chrysler?
- 10 A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

- Q. And this equipment that you handle for them, that you care for them, this is pretty sophisticated stuff?
  - A. Well, right now since the last changeover from the Neon to -- well, take the paint department, the paint shop. I'm sorry. The paint booth or the spray booths. They went from automation where they had what they called belts that sprayed on
- 17 the cars to all robotics, and they also had manual sprayers
- 18 before it got to the automation, and now it's all robotic. So,
- 19 it's changed quite a bit just from 2004 to 2006 when the new
- 20 cars started.
- Q. Okay. And so, as a pipefitter for Chrysler, you've been
- 22 fixing their machinery since 1988, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk now, Otto, about why we're here today.
- 25 You have a lawsuit against Chrysler. What is it based on? What

- 1 are you alleging?
- 2 A. It's based on harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and
- 3 hostile work environment, and the fact that, as you will see as
- 4 this progresses, that I did go to all the individuals. I did do
- 5 everything I could do. And it was nice listening to a nice
- 6 fairytale from Mr. Sanducci (sic), but the fact is is that talk
- 7 is cheap. Actions speak louder than words. And all I ever got
- 8 from Chrysler was a lot of rhetoric, talk.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, this harassment that we've already heard a little
- 10 bit about, this harassment begins in 2002, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let me do it this way. What was the first incident
- of harassment that you can recall?
- 14 A. Are we talking 2002?
- 15 Q. 2002, sir.
- A. The first incident that I can recall, I believe it was a
- 17 name tag that had some graffiti on it.
- 18 MS. DORAN: Your Honor, I want to hand the jury the
- 19 exhibit binders and give an exhibit binder to the witness. I
- 20 don't know that we've given you your exhibit binder yet.
- 21 THE COURT: I have it.
- 22 MS. DORAN: You do. Okay. So, if we can just take a
- 23 | couple minutes to --
- THE COURT: Sure. Do you need help from the CSO? Jim
- you can help out.

MS. DORAN: Your Honor, we had that ruling earlier today, and we have not had the time to take it out, but if you can instruct the jury.

THE COURT: I will.

MS. DORAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Again, folks, as I told you earlier, after you receive your exhibit books, write your name on it, and it will remain in the courtroom. Whenever you leave, leave the book on your chair next to your chair.

And I'll reiterate for you once again, and I'm very serious about this, do not look at an exhibit until I tell you to look at the exhibit. Okay? And, again, folks, these are given as a benefit to you, as an accommodation to you, as an aid to you. We're trying to help make your job as easy as possible. We only ask in return that you follow our directions. Okay.

BY MS. DORAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

22

17 Q. All set? Okay. Great.

Otto, I'd like you to turn to Exhibit Number 6 in your binder.

THE COURT: Folks, you can turn to Exhibit Number 6.

21 BY MS. DORAN:

- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is that?
- 25 A. It's vandalism to both of my vehicles.

- 1 Q. Okay. And I see a date on the top here, 2-21-02. Is
- 2 that -- so, you had vandalism occur to your vehicles around
- 3 2-21-02?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And this at the top you'll see it says
- 6 DaimlerChrysler Corporation incident report. Is that a document
- 7 that was created by Chrysler?
- 8 A. It was a document created by security after I reported the
- 9 incidents.
- 10 Q. And can you tell us a little bit about this incident? What
- 11 was this concerning? You said vandalism to your car, but can
- 12 you be a little more specific?
- 13 A. Yes. It boiled down to there was sugar found in both of
- 14 my -- sugar found in both of my tanks in both of the vehicles.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: They're having a problem
- 17 A JUROR: Is it tab 6?
- MS. DORAN: I'm sorry. Tab number six.
- 19 A JUROR: Thank you. Sorry.
- 20 MS. DORAN: Thank you.
- 21 THE COURT: The exhibit appears after the tab. So,
- when I say tab six, you can open tab six, and the exhibit will
- 23 be right after it.
- 24 MS. DORAN: Okay.
- 25 THE COURT: And excuse me. Again, folks, they're your

exhibit books. They're your exhibits. You can mark on them
You can underline them You can make notes in them It's to
aid you in understanding the evidence and evaluating the
evidence so that when you go to deliberate, you'll have a fuller
understanding of the evidence in this case.

I'm sorry for the interruption. Please proceed. BY MS. DORAN:

- Q. Okay. So, you had some sugar in your gas tank. Why would you report that to Chrysler?
- A. Well, the only place that it could have happened would have happened there at work.
- Q. How do you know that?

A. Because from the time I drove in -- with the first vehicle I drive in, everything is fine, and many times, working first shift, we came in at quarter to 2:00, 2:00 in the morning to work twelve hours. So, I come in, and on my way home after I got in my car, I get a check engine light, and it was running real rough. So, I pulled up at the gas station in front of CherryVale Mall, and I filled it up with gas, and as soon as I drove out and got to the light, it shut down, and when it shut down, I mean, it wouldn't start up. So -- and I mean, I tried many times.

Then I called my wife. I said, "You better come and get me." While I was doing this, an officer from Cherry Valley Police Department came and asked me what was wrong. I told him

- So, because it was blocking traffic at that light, he took his 1 2 car and pushed me into the Hilander parking lot.
  - So, just so that we get this straight, you had gone to work at the Belvidere -- the Chrysler Belvidere plant, and you parked in Chrysler's parking lot, and then when you got in your car, you drove home, and that's how you deduced that the damge must have happened when you were in Chrysler's parking
- Well, at the time, of course, I didn't know what was wrong with the car, and it took the dealer -- it got towed to Bryden Motors, and it took them I don't know, probably a couple of weeks, three weeks to figure out what was wrong. Every time they would tell me they got it fixed, I go get it, boom 14 Nothing would happen. I mean, it wouldn't run.

So, finally, after doing much search in there, they found that all the fuel injection ports were all plugged up with sugar, and they looked in the tank, and it was full of sugar.

- And let me just direct your attention to the second page, the next page. Is that the bill that you got from Bryden **Motors?**
- 21 That is on the Grand Caravan. The first one is on the Grand A. 22 Caravan.
  - But you mentioned two vehicles.
- 24 A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

**13** 

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

lot, right?

25 Was there any other vandalism done to your cars? Q. Okay.

- A. Well, while the first car, which was a Stratus, was in the shop trying to figure out what was wrong with it, I got the loaner. And while I had the loaner, of course, it wasn't like I drove it for one day. They said I had it probably two, three weeks. I don't remember the time span. It's been many years ago. But they gave me a loaner, and one day after parking there -- I know there was snow on the ground -- on my way home, my right rear tire disintegrates by the time I get home.
- Q. Did anything else happen besides that?
- A. Yeah. I went -- and, of course, I had to change the tire and all that. I went back to the dealership, and I told them what had happened, and I was able to kind of talk my way out of them charging me for that tire.

So, they gave me another vehicle, which was a Jeep, if I remember correctly, and I drove that for a short period of time, and next thing you know, on my way to work -- now, by that time the snow had melted, and I know I was on my way home, and my right rear again disintegrates, and I had to pull over just before the intersection -- before that bridge before 20 and Mill Road.

- Q. So, around this time in early 2002, Otto, did you experience any other problems with the tires of your vehicles?
- A. Yes, there was another incident. Now, that one I couldn't talk my way out of it. I had to pay to get --
- Q. About how many cars did you experience problems with?

- A. Well, right in a very short period of time while these
   loaners were being used, there was three vehicles that the tires
- 3 were messed with.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. And what I know from talking to experts, it was being
- 6 done -- the tire was being deflated to a certain point, and it
- 7 was always the right rear because you get in the left-hand side
- 8 to get into -- you know, to the steering wheel. So, you don't
- 9 really notice it. So, they lower it down so far, and as you're
- 10 driving, between the friction of the rim, the road, and the
- 11 rubber, they just disintegrate.
- 12 Q. Okay. And I notice on this exhibit, the first page now, the
- 13 Belvidere report talks about problems with your tires. Now, why
- 14 did you tell Chrysler about the problems that you were having
- with your tires? I understand -- you know, you explained to us
- about the sugar, that you suspected it was happening in the
- parking lot at Chrysler because it happened when you drove the
- 18 car home, but why the tires?
- 19 A. I don't know why the tires -- I mean, I don't know why they
- were messing with the tires, but it could have only happened
- 21 there. I come to work. Everything is fine. I leave work, and
- 22 I have all kinds of problems with tires.
- Q. I see. Okay. So, after you told Chrysler that you were
- 24 having these difficulties with your car, what did Chrysler do?
- 25 A. Well, let me just say that these first incidents -- I'm a

- 1 burden to Chrysler. Regardless of what they say, I was a burden
- 2 to them because they don't want to spend time messing with this
- 3 stuff. So, after I report it, they make a nice little report,
- 4 but guess what? They don't do nothing about it.
- 5 Q. So, to your knowledge, did they interview anybody?
- 6 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did they at this time say, "Hey, why don't you park
- 8 in a designated parking spot so we can watch your car"?
- 9 A. Oh, no.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. No way.
- 12 Q. Now, what was the next thing that happened to you in '02?
- 13 A. The next thing -- are we talking about cars, or are we
- 14 talking about anything?
- 15 Q. I'm talking about any act of harassment that happened to you
- in 2002 after this.
- 17 A. Well, after this incident with this car, in May of 2002
- 18 there was that incident with the spike put in my right rear tire
- 19 again.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, I want to direct your attention to Exhibit
- 21 Number 7.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you can
- turn to Exhibit Number 7.
- 24 BY MS. DORAN:
- 25 Q. Is this the spike you're talking about?

- 1 A. Yes. Even though it doesn't do it much justice with the
- 2 picture, but it was quite overwhelming.
- **Q.** Where were you when you found this spike?
- 4 A. It was a Sunday morning, and it was Mother's Day. And I
- 5 know I had come in that morning at quarter to 2:00,
- 6 2:00 o'clock. I don't remember if we started at quarter to 2:00
- 7 or 2:00. Anyway, when we were getting ready to go home, it was
- 8 a dreary, windy, cloudy day, and something caught my eye, a
- 9 certain individual's vehicle caught my eye that I know never
- 10 parks there.
- 11 Q. Who was that?
- 12 A. That was John Myers.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. And because of everything that had happened, I always
- 15 thought that he was behind a lot of the stuff, but I can't
- accuse somebody without proof, you know.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. So, anyway, there was what appeared to be a rag or two in
- 19 front of my right rear tire. And because it was a windy day, I
- 20 never gave it much thought, you know. I don't see as well
- 21 without my glasses, and I didn't have them on. So, I proceeded
- 22 to get into my car. As soon as I drove, I heard a big thump.
- said, "What was that?" So, I got out of the car. I go look,
- 24 and here's this huge metal piece of plate -- probably it was
- about seven to eight inches long with three huge spikes wrapped

- in them rags. And I just couldn't believe my eyes. I said, "My
   goodness."
- 3 So, after that, I felt the tire to see how much damage.
- 4 Well, the perpetrator, what his intentions were did not work the
- 5 way he wanted to because it didn't go completely all the way
- 6 through. It just barely poked one hole. And so, I felt it
- 7 going out, and I said -- well, I took that metal with the rags.
- 8 I threw it into the back of my car, and I proceeded to go home
- 9 because, otherwise, I was going to have a flat tire. So -- and
- 10 I got home, and then we went out. We had -- what do you call
- 11 it. A luncheon Mother's Day --
- 12 0. Reservation?
- 13 A. Reservation. So, by the time I got home, the tire was flat.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then did you tell Chrysler?
- 15 A. Yes. I told Chrysler I believe it was the following day.
- 16 This was a Sunday. I told them on a Monday. I think it was --
- 17 I don't remember the date, but I know --
- 18 Q. If you turn to the fourth page of this exhibit, that might
- 19 refresh your recollection. It says at the top of this report,
- "On 5-13-02 employee Otto May reported."
- 21 A. Yes. That's when I spoke to Mr. Todd Hudson, who was one of
- 22 their -- I think he was like a supervisor, but I'm not sure.
- But I know he was with security in the plant.
- Q. Okay. And did you show them the spike?
- 25 A. At first they didn't even want to look at it or do anything

- about it. Finally, I said, "You know what? Don't worry about
  it. I won't trouble you. I'll just go right to the police
  station." So, he said, "No, no, no, Otto. Come here. Let's
- 4 go. "
- 5 So, we went to the office. I showed him the spike.
- 6 Because I had it hidden. I had it in a bag. I don't want to
- 7 walk around with a spike in my hand, you know. So, I went
- 8 ahead, and we went into the office. He made the report, took
- 9 the pictures, and I told him I was turning it over to the
- 10 Belvidere Police Department, which I had done with the previous
- 11 vandalism to the vehicles.
- 12 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, call the Belvidere Police?
- 13 A. Of course.
- 14 Q. Okay. And who has the spike now?
- 15 A. As far as I know, Belvidere Police Department is still in
- 16 possession of it.
- Q. Okay. And did you have an idea or did you have an opinion
- about where this spike came from?
- 19 A. By looking at the spike, it appeared to be that it could
- 20 have been -- it could have been made right there in the plant.
- 21 We have shops there that have metal, and people have access to
- 22 that and have access to welders, and it was a piece of plate
- with three spikes welded to it.
- Q. But why couldn't some guy just do it in his home garage?
- 25 A. I'm thinking well -- because after looking at it and seeing

- 1 it -- of course, it could have been done in a garage, but you
- 2 need what they call a MG welder, and that's a sophisticated
- 3 welder. For anybody that's done any welding, it's a wire feed
- 4 that uses gas, and as you're welding, automatically as you push
- on the trigger, you got gas going in, and you're welding it.
- 6 You're fusing the two metals together. So, it's a continuous
- 7 flow.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. And that's what that appeared to be.
- 10 Q. To your knowledge -- after you reported this to Chrysler, to
- 11 your knowledge did they go and investigate around the area where
- 12 there are MIG welders in their plant?
- 13 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 14 Q. So, this happened to you on Mother's Day 2002. So, it's
- 15 May 12th, 2002. Oh, let me ask you, too. What parking lot were
- you in when this happened?
- 17 A. That particular day, because it was a Sunday -- we
- 18 weren't -- hourly was not allowed to park in the salaried lot.
- 19 Where I park, and I've been parking there since 1988 since I
- 20 hired in, is called the east lot. The east lot was divided in
- 21 three places. To the left is all hourly. To the right
- 22 immediately after the turnstiles, there was a fenced in area,
- and that was salaried lot. Then you had -- that was two rows
- 24 accommodated to park in there. And then after that, meaning on
- 25 the west side, the same side as the salaried lot, you had an

- 1 hourly lot, also. This particular Sunday I was parked very
- 2 close to the turnstile, and I was parked in the salaried lot.
- 3 Q. Why?
- 4 A. Because it was Sunday. Production wasn't running. The only
- 5 people there usually on a Sunday is either skilled trades,
- 6 maintenance personnel -- and when I talk maintenance, that
- 7 includes janitors, booth cleaners, and others -- and a few
- 8 material handlers. So, there's not that many people. It's not
- 9 like you got production running. So, I was able to park there,
- 10 and that's why I parked there.
- 11 Q. Okay. And after you told Chrysler about this spike, they
- 12 allowed you to park in the salaried parking lot, right?
- 13 A. What?
- 14 Q. After you told Chrysler about this spike, at some point
- someone told you that you were allowed to park in the salaried
- 16 parking lot, correct?
- 17 A. First of all, we need to clarify this.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. After the spike incident, Chrysler Belvidere plant did
- 20 nothing. Nada, zilch. Like they always have done. So, I had
- 21 to get on the phone and talk to a gentleman in Detroit or Auburn
- 22 Hills. I'm not sure which one. His name was Marvin Moore. And
- 23 I spoke to him on the phone, and I told him what had been going
- on, and he said to me, "Well, what are they doing about it?" I
- said to him, "The same thing they've done all this time.

- Nothing." So, he said to me, "I'll be back." He hung up. He says, "I'll get back to you."
- Okay. Next thing I know, I think it was about ten days
  later, I get a call from Kim Kuborn. Now all of a sudden I'm
  allowed to park in the salaried lot. But it took a call outside
  of the plant for them to call Belvidere for them to do something
- Q. Okay. This Mr. Moore, was this Mr. Moore from corporatediversity in Auburn Hills, Michigan?

That's how it happened.

- A. To be honest with you, I don't know if he was corporate
  diversity or just an HR gentleman from Detroit or Auburn Hills.
- 12 I'm not sure.

about it.

- 13 Q. You never met him?
- A. I never met him I got the name from the EEOC investigator
- 15 that was investigating my case and complaints at that time.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so, Kim Kuborn told you after the spike that you
- 17 were allowed to park in the salaried lot. Now, just to be
- 18 clear, that's the same lot where you ran over the spike, right?
- 19 A. That is correct. But I don't want you to say immediately.
- 20 It took a phone call and ten days later --
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. -- for them to do anything about anything.
- Q. Okay. What was the significance of parking in the salaried
- 24 lot?
- 25 A. Here's another one that's -- another little fairytale that I

- 1 got. This way we can keep an eye on your car. The problem with
- 2 that is they had one camera that wasn't working. They never
- 3 taped anything or record anything. There wasn't always a person
- 4 looking at all the monitors that are up there watching all the
- 5 parking lots. So, it was really just to make me feel better.
- 6 Q. Okay. So, they told you that there were going to be cameras
- 7 on your car?
- 8 A. Oh, yeah. That's what they said.
- 9 Q. Okay. But there came a time that you found out that these
- 10 cameras weren't working?
- 11 A. That is correct. I was told by a security fire marshal
- officer.
- 13 Q. What was his name?
- 14 A. His name was Robert Lowery. And he came to me. He told me,
- 15 he says, "You know, that whole thing with the camera" --
- MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, I'd object at this point.
- 17 We're getting into hearsay.
- THE COURT: Can you cite an exception?
- MS. DORAN: He's a party opponent. He's in security.
- 20 MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, Mr. May is going to talk about
- 21 matters that are pure hearsay and conjecture. The state of the
- 22 cameras and so forth.
- THE COURT: All right. Well, the plaintiff
- 24 acknowledges it's hearsay. She says it's an exception because
- 25 | it's an admission by a party opponent.

MR. BALOGH: Mr. -- and I didn't even catch the fire marshal's name, but he does not have any supervisory authority or right to speak on behalf of the company. He wasn't even a Chrysler employee. He was an employee of a contractor called Wackenhut.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I say something?

THE COURT: No.

MS. DORAN: No.

THE COURT: Well, it's your burden to get the evidence in. Is there any indication that he represents -- that the person Mr. May talked to represented Chrysler?

12 BY MS. DORAN:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 Q. Who was Mr. Lowery working for at the time?
- A. Chrysler. Wackenhut didn't come into play 'til around 2004, somewhere along in there.
- 16 Q. And when did you have this conversation?
- 17 A. This was in 2002.
- 18 Q. Okay.

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor -- and I'm not going to argue with Mr. May on the switch from Chrysler to Wackenhut for fire marshals, but this gentleman was not a supervisory employee. He was a fire marshal.

THE COURT: But I've got testimony under oath that he is a representative of Chrysler, and I've got your representation that he's not.

MR. BALOGH: If I may have a sidebar, your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, let's do this. I'll send the jury out for the afternoon break.

Folks, I'll release you for 15 minutes. Come back at 3:20. Again, as jurors in this case, you're not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else or permit anyone to discuss it in your presence.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, out of the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BALOGH: Judge, my problem here isn't that this guy is or is not a Chrysler employee. My problem is that when we took Mr. May's deposition, he told this story. There was an objection at the time that it was hearsay, and there was testimony from later witnesses that said that what this guy said was wrong. All of the cameras were working.

THE COURT: Well, then you have a right to present evidence that all the cameras were working and that what he heard was wrong, but right now I'm dealing with the admissibility of what Mr. May heard from this person, and if he was an employee of Chrysler, then I believe it's a party admission.

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, I'm a little taken aback because there was an objection to this testimony on the record, this exact same testimony, as hearsay when this was taken. So,

there's no surprise here that we were going to fight this testimony. Having said that, you know, he was -- and I just checked with corporate counsel. The change to Wackenhut was in 2003. So, he was a Chrysler employee at the time, but not a management employee.

THE COURT: All right. I think it's admissible then

THE COURT: All right. I think it's admissible then under the exception.

MR. BALOGH: I'll withdraw the objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's come back at 20 after.

MS. DORAN: Thank you.

(Brief recess.)

THE COURT: I want to make a clarification, and that is by definition under the Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2), testimony is not hearsay. It kind of is counterintuitive, but that's just the way they define it. Ready for the jury?

MS. DORAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Val, bring the jury in, please.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, in the

presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. BALOGH: Your Honor, just for the record, we would like to make sure that this keeps moving, and I will withdraw our objection. Thank you.

THE COURT: Please proceed.

BY MS. DORAN:

Q. I think we were last talking about the conversation that you

- had with security employee Robert Lowery about the state of the cameras on your car in the salaried parking lot after Chrysler
- allowed you to park there. Do you remember that?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And so, he told you that some of the cameras weren't
- 6 working. What else did he tell you about the cameras?
- 7 A. Well, he just told me that it was just to make me feel good,
- 8 that some cameras weren't working and the other cameras do not
- 9 record. And if you ever go and look at the monitors where all
- 10 the cameras that were looking at different things for the
- 11 turnstiles and parking lot, there wasn't an attendant there all
- 12 the time. So, it was impossible to keep an eye on your vehicle
- when, number one, it's not being recorded. Number two, somebody
- can't be staring at it every minute that it's there in the
- parking lot.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, after the vehicle damage, when did the graffiti
- 17 start? We were talking about graffiti. When did the graffiti
- **18 start?**
- 19 A. If my recollection is correct, the graffiti started with a
- 20 form of -- they used to have us -- you know, we wear coveralls
- 21 at work because you're required -- in certain areas, you have to
- 22 have a certain attire. And these coveralls had our name tags on
- 23 it. And the first graffiti that I can recall, it was somebody
- 24 had written in my name tag certain very derogatory comments.
- Q. Do you remember when that was?

- 1 A. It was sometime, I believe, in 2002, but I can't recall the
- 2 time frame on that.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was written on your name tag?
- 4 A. Well, I just want you to know that I don't use this type of
- 5 language, but it said -- it was embroidered. You know, it was
- 6 all sewn into the coverall. My name is embroidered. On one
- 7 side it had f-u-c-k, the other side it said sucks, and on the
- 8 bottom it said Cuban.
- 9 Q. Okay. I want to turn your attention to a document behind
- 10 tab eight.
- 11 THE COURT: Folks, you may turn to tab eight.
- 12 BY MS. DORAN:
- 13 Q. Do you recognize that?
- 14 A. Yes. That was graffiti that went up in one of the freight
- elevators, normally known as T-6 elevator, which is mostly for
- 16 moving material up and down from first to second floor.
- 17 Q. And did you see this graffiti?
- 18 A. How could you not see it?
- 19 Q. When did it first appear?
- 20 A. It first appeared in June of 2002. The date I don't
- 21 remember exactly.
- Q. If you look on this document in the second row -- well, it
- says this was put up in the T-6 material elevator on the weekend
- of June 16th, 2002. Does that refresh your recollection?
- 25 A. That sounds about right. That's my handwriting.

- 1 Q. That's your handwriting there. Okay. And did you tell
- 2 anyone about it?
- 3 A. Of course. I complained to management about it.
- 4 Q. Who?
- 5 A. If I remember correctly, I probably mentioned it to Larry
- 6 Martin, Lewie Tucker, and I would say probably Jim Fransen,
- 7 also.
- 8 Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, did Chrysler conduct an
- 9 investigation to find out who put this stuff up?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And this graffiti was at some point taken down, right? It
- 12 was covered up?
- 13 A. It was finally taken down around the middle of August after
- 14 it had been up almost two months, after rigorously complaining
- 15 now to Mr. Craig Anderson.
- 16 Q. Okay. And the graffiti says -- it's a heart with Chuck plus
- 17 Otto in it, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And Chuck is Mr. Chuck Finch, a friend of yours at the
- 20 plant?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And to your knowledge, are you the only Otto that works at
- the Belvidere Chrysler plant to your knowledge?
- A. To my knowledge, I'm the only one, but I can't be a hundred
- 25 percent sure.

- 1 Q. Now I want to direct your attention to tab number ten.
- 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you may turn to ten.
- 3 BY MS. DORAN:
- 4 Q. What is this, Otto?
- 5 A. After the previous graffiti was painted over, the next thing
- 6 I know, there's some more graffiti on the same elevator, but
- 7 this time it's on -- the other one was on the back wall of the
- 8 elevator. This one, as you're walking into the elevator,
- 9 driving in, it's on the right side of the elevator. So, it
- 10 would be the east wall of the elevator.
- 11 Q. Is this the same elevator you said?
- 12 A. Yes, T-6.
- 13 Q. And I'm going to move over here closer to the ELMD. Who
- 14 took this picture?
- 15 A. I probably did.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you saw the graffiti when it was up in the
- 17 elevator?
- 18 A. The graffiti when it first went up, it didn't have certain
- 19 writing on it.
- 20 Q. And are you referring to this writing?
- 21 A. I'm referring to where it says Cuban fag Jew.
- Q. Okay. So, that writing wasn't there originally?
- 23 A. Originally it was just a heart with Chuck and Otto.
- Q. Just like the last?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. And do you recall when this heart went up?
- 2 A. It was toward the end of August. I mean, we're talking many
- 3 years ago, but I believe it was toward the end of August because
- 4 we were approaching I think it was Labor Day weekend.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me direct your attention to the next page
- 6 in there. Do you recognize that? That's a note that you wrote,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes, that's my handwriting.
- 9 Q. And this note is in reference to what?
- 10 A. To that heart with the Chuck and Otto graffiti.
- 11 Q. And so, on here you write that you found the heart on
- 12 August 28th, 2002; is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And then later on you say that you complained, and there was
- a Lotus note from Craig Anderson to Larry Martin telling them to
- paint it over; is that correct? Is that what happened?
- 17 A. That's correct. And that was on the following day,
- 18 August 29th.
- 19 Q. Okay. And was it painted over on the 29th?
- 20 A. No. It was ignored by Mr. Martin.
- Q. Okay. And then you took this photo. So, when did you find
- out that this graffiti, this Cuban fag Jew, when did that
- 23 appear?
- A. I can't remember exactly when it appeared, but I do know
- 25 that it was shortly a few days afterwards that that writing

- 1 appeared after Mr. Martin ignored painting it over or cleaning
- 2 it.
- Q. Well, your note says here that on Saturday the 31st, you
- 4 went into the elevator, and you saw that someone had added under
- 5 your name in red ink Cuban fag and under that in black ink Jew;
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 8 Q. And was that graffiti cleaned up that day?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. When was it cleaned up?
- 11 A. It stayed up 'til Tuesday, September 3rd, and I believe it
- was cleaned up somewhere between 10:00 and 11:00 a.m. After I
- had a discussion with one of the painters, they painted -- he
- 14 told me that they were told by Mr. Anderson to go up there and
- paint over it.
- 16 Q. Were you offended by this?
- 17 A. Of course I was. Anybody would be.
- 18 Q. Did anyone from HR talk to you about it?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. To your knowledge, did Chrysler investigate who put that
- 21 graffiti up?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention now to the next tab, tab
- 24 number eleven. Can you tell the jury what this document is?
- 25 THE COURT: Folks, you may turn to eleven.

- 1 BY THE WITNESS:
- 2 A. This was a letter that had been circulated -- I don't know
- 3 if it was the whole plant, but we had seen it in the paint
- 4 department. It's called "Yes, I'm a Bad American" by George
- 5 Carlin.
- 6 BY MS. DORAN:
- 7 Q. Okay. The note that we're looking at right here has some
- 8 additional writing on it, right?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And did you find this note?
- 11 A. It was put inside my toolbox.
- 12 Q. Okay. You have a toolbox that's at the plant, right?
- 13 A. At that time it was in the paint shop, paint booth area,
- 14 which is called the clean room, the middle room, and it was
- 15 right there. It had been there since 1995.
- 16 Q. Okay. And how did you find it?
- 17 A. It was on my -- when I opened my toolbox and I got my tools
- out, it was on my second drawer where all my tools are. But the
- 19 note was folded in four, you know. It wasn't like sticking out
- 20 like this. It was folded up.
- 21 And if I remember correctly, I think I just took it,
- 22 put it on top of my toolbox. After going through my notes, I
- 23 remember that, you know, at that particular day I had a call
- 24 right away to attend to. So, I never got back to it 'til after
- 25 later that day.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then that's when you read it?
- 2 A. That's when I opened it up and I saw what it said.
- Q. Okay. And is it safe to say that you were offended by this
- 4 language, too, this handwriting?
- 5 A. I believe I was, and I believe anybody would be.
- 6 Q. So, what did you do when you found this note, when you read
- 7 it and figured out what it was?
- 8 A. The first thing I did, I reported it to my supervisor, which
- 9 I believe at that time was Jim Fransen, and then I proceeded, if
- 10 I'm not mistaken, and, like I said, I called labor relations and
- 11 let them know about this.
- 12 Q. Okay. And I want to direct your attention to the next page.
- 13 This is another one of those DaimlerChrysler incident reports.
- 14 Did you report it to security?
- 15 A. That is correct. I reported it to security, also.
- 16 Q. Okay. And did you report it to anybody else aside from
- 17 Chrysler?
- 18 A. I reported it to the Belvidere Police Department later on.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did you provide the police with a copy of the
- 20 note?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you give them the original note?
- A. They said it wouldn't do them any good because it had
- 24 already been handled by too many people.
- Q. Did you ever find out who wrote that note?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. What's the next thing that happened, do you recall?
- 3 A. I believe after that, there was some more graffiti, but --
- 4 Q. Well, let me direct your attention to tab number twelve, the
- 5 next.
- 6 THE COURT: Folks, you may turn to tab twelve.
- 7 BY MS. DORAN:
- **8 Q.** What's this document?
- 9 A. This was another note that had been left in my toolbox.
- 10 Q. Okay. And there's some writing in the top right-hand
- 11 corner. It says 9-12-02. Do you know who wrote that?
- 12 A. I wrote that.
- 13 Q. What does that signify?
- 14 A. That's when it was found.
- Q. Okay. So, the first note was found on 9-3-02. The second
- 16 note was found on 9-12-02?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am
- 18 Q. What did you do when you found this note?
- 19 A. Well, I notified security, I notified labor relations, and I
- 20 also notified the police department, Belvidere Police
- 21 Department.
- Q. And did the police department take the note?
- 23 A. I went down there and I provided them with the note, but
- 24 there was a little problem
- Q. What was the problem?

- 1 A. When I took the note, I put it in a baggie, you know, a
- 2 sealed bag, and I handed it to a clerk behind the, you know, the
- 3 glass, and she took it. But what ended up happening is because
- 4 she had taken it and it ended up in what they call incoming mail
- or outgoing mail -- I don't remember which one -- they said it
- 6 didn't meet -- it didn't meet what they call chain of custody,
- 7 had broken chain of custody, and they could no longer use it.
- 8 Q. I see.
- 9 A. Meaning to analyze it.
- 10 Q. How are you feeling at this point after seeing this graffiti
- 11 and getting these notes?
- 12 A. Pretty low, pretty -- how would you say? No self-esteem
- pretty discouraged, upset.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, you mentioned there was some more graffiti.
- 15 Let me direct your attention now to Exhibit Number 13.
- 16 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you may turn to 13.
- 17 BY MS. DORAN:
- 18 Q. Do you recognize that?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- Q. There's some writing at the top of it. Again, is that your
- 21 handwriting?
- 22 A. I'm sorry. I don't have any writing at the top.
- Q. Oh, you don't have it. I'm sorry. Do you recognize this as
- being graffiti that you saw at the Belvidere plant?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am

- 1 Q. And let me direct your attention to the last page of that
- 2 exhibit. There's an e-mail exchange between Zach Budden and
- 3 Rick McPherson dated 9-19-02. In this it talks about Craig
- 4 Anderson finding some graffiti at 2:00 o'clock relating to this.
- 5 So, you didn't find this graffiti, correct?
- 6 A. No. It was Craig Anderson who found it.
- 7 Q. How did you find out about it?
- 8 A. He told me about it.
- 9 Q. Okay. And did you talk with Zach Budden about it?
- 10 A. As far as I can remember, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And it says here that, "May expressed concern about
- 12 his lunchbox." Do you recall telling him that you were
- 13 concerned about your lunchbox?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. What's the concern?
- 16 A. That somebody might put something in my lunchbox, you know.
- 17 I carry water, food in there, and it was kept in the same room
- 18 from what I can see B-22 in paint. I know that was in the back
- 19 room someplace. And I used to keep my lunchbox there while I
- was working upstairs in the paint booth.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Budden offer you any solutions to that
- 22 concern?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. So, what did you end up doing? You just carried your lunch
- 25 around with you?

- 1 A. It was after that I decided that it was not safe for me to
- 2 leave it there. So, I started carrying my lunch into the clean
- 3 room with me. My lunchbox. Let me rephrase that. Lunchbox
- 4 with me.
- **Q.** Okay. And a lunchbox because -- tell the jury what your
- 6 lunchbox looks like.
- 7 A. It was an Igloo type box at the time, and it was about, I
- 8 don't know, maybe 18-inches long and about maybe 16-inches deep,
- 9 and it opens up, you know.
- 10 Q. It's a cooler.
- 11 A. Right. It's a cooler.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, that was found by Mr. Anderson on 9-19-02. And
- 13 then let me direct your attention to the next tab, tab number
- 14 14.
- 15 THE COURT: Members of the jury, you may turn to 14.
- MS. DORAN: Your Honor, could you ask the jury if they
- can see this okay? I mean, what I'm doing here.
- 18 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, can you see the
- 19 screen?
- 20 MS. DORAN: Kind of hard?
- 21 BY MS. DORAN:
- 22 Q. Let me direct your attention to the second page of that
- exhibit, Otto. This is a note that you wrote?
- 24 A. Yes. that is a note that I wrote.
- 25 Q. Okay. And what is this note concerning?

- 1 A. It's in regards to this particular graffiti at the L-37
- 2 elevator.
- Q. Okay. And does this note tell you when you found that
- 4 graffiti? At the very top, you have a date 9-22-02.
- 5 A. Oh. About 12: 30 p.m, I believe.
- 6 Q. So, you found it on September 22nd, 2002, correct?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you said you found this in an elevator. Was it
- 9 the same elevator that you mentioned before?
- 10 A. No. There's two freight elevators that go from first floor
- 11 to second floor in paint, and, like I said, it's mainly to move
- 12 material or, you know, access to both floors. This one happens
- 13 to be at what we call L-37 elevator, which is a complete
- 14 different elevator almost on the east end -- it would be the
- southeast end of the plant, of the paint shop and body shop.
- 16 Q. Of the paint shop within the plant.
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. So, not the opposite end of the plant building itself, but
- 19 of the paint department.
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. It's opposite to Uniprime, what we call Uniprime system
- there.
- Q. And at this time how big is the paint department? Can you
- give the jury some kind of indication of what --

- 1 A. Are we talking about the whole paint department?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. The whole paint department is pretty good size. It covers a
- 4 lot of -- the second floor is the paint department. It covers
- 5 mostly all -- part of body shop or quite a bit of the body shop.
- 6 It goes from where the offices for the salaried personnel are
- 7 all the way to -- it would be what we call the caustic room and
- 8 then it goes all the way into powder, where they powder the
- 9 cars. So, it's a pretty good size area.
- 10 Q. And so, this was found in the elevator on the other end of
- 11 T-6. Why are we talking about these elevators in terms of
- 12 letters and numbers? Is there a system like a grid system
- 13 that Chrysler has?
- 14 A. Yes. Everything is marked with columns. You have your Ts,
- 15 meaning your letters run mainly -- how would I say -- from east
- 16 to west, and your numbers run from north to south.
- 17 Q. Okay. So, when you found this graffiti -- I'm sorry. I'm
- 18 not sure if I asked you this. Did you tell anyone about it?
- 19 A. I believe -- I know I probably did. I just can't really
- 20 remember at this time. I know I called Chuck, and I told him
- 21 what I had found.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. But I also -- I know I reported it, also.
- Q. Okay. Did anyone from Chrysler come and talk to you about
- 25 the note or interview you about the graffiti, rather?

- 1 A. No, no one talked to me about it.
- Q. Okay. So, now we're into late September 2002. We talked
- 3 about -- a man's name has been mentioned so far, a guy by the
- 4 name of Richard McPherson. Who is that?
- 5 A. Richard McPherson at the time was head of HR, human
- 6 resources.
- 7 Q. Okay. And prior to September of 2002, had you ever met
- 8 Mr. McPherson?
- 9 A. No. I think prior to that meeting, I don't believe that I
- 10 had met him
- 11 Q. What meeting are you referring to?
- 12 A. The first meeting that -- the only meeting I attended that
- 13 McPherson, Bob Kertz, some members of skilled trades, a couple
- of supervisors attended up in the paint shop.
- 15 Q. And who was Bob Kertz?
- 16 A. Bob Kertz at the time was head of LR, which is labor
- 17 relations.
- 18 Q. Okay. And do you recall when this meeting took place?
- 19 A. I think it happened somewhere around -- I think it was
- 20 around September 30th or something like that, if I recall, but
- 21 I'm not sure about dates.
- Q. If I told you September 26th, does that ring a bell?
- 23 A. That sounds about right.
- Q. Okay. And so, this was a meeting that you attended with
- 25 Mr. McPherson, Mr. Kertz, and some other skilled tradesmen. In

- 1 what department?
- 2 A. We had the meeting in one of the conference rooms in the
- 3 paint shop department.
- 4 Q. Okay. Were there supervisors that were involved in that
- 5 meeting?
- 6 A. I believe there was a couple of them, but I can't recall who
- 7 at this point in time.
- 8 Q. Anybody else in the meeting?
- 9 A. I can't recall.
- 10 Q. Okay. And how long did the meeting last?
- 11 A. I think it lasted somewhere around 15 to 30 minutes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And during this meeting, who was leading the meeting?
- 13 A. Well, Mr. McPherson led the meeting.
- 14 Q. And what was the purpose of the meeting? What was he
- 15 talking to you about?
- 16 A. The purpose of the meeting was to talk about their
- 17 harassment policy.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you had seen their harassment policy before?
- 19 A. Yes, I've seen it before.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this. All this stuff that had
- 21 happened up until this point, you know, the vandalism to your
- car, the spike, the graffitis in the elevators, and the notes in
- your toolbox and things like that, who had access to those
- 24 areas? Just skilled trades and paint?
- 25 A. No. Anybody in the plant has access to any area in the

- 1 plant. You have to remember that we have material handlers, we
- 2 have janitors, we have booth cleaners. Now, they go all over
- 3 the plant. Then you have your production workers that also can
- 4 go anywhere in the plant, especially during -- I mean, they're
- 5 not going to wander off while they're putting a car together,
- 6 but they do get breaks and they get lunch, and they can go
- 7 anywhere in the plant.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did you say anything at this meeting?
- 9 A. I said something to Mr. McPherson.
- 10 Q. What did you say?
- 11 A. I told him that this was the only meeting he was having and
- 12 why just the small group because there's more than 15 of us in
- 13 the paint department, and there's certainly a lot more than that
- in the whole Chrysler Belvidere plant.
- 15 Q. What did Mr. McPherson say?
- 16 A. He said that they were concentrating mainly, if I remember
- 17 correctly, on the paint department and skilled trades.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry. He said that he was going to --
- 19 A. He was mainly concentrating on the paint department and
- 20 skilled trades.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned before that anybody had access to
- 22 these areas. Do you have any idea how many people worked at the
- 23 Chrysler plant at that time in '02?
- A. In '02 there had to be over a thousand people working there.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Just give me a second here.

#### May - Direct THE COURT: 1 Sure. 2 MS. DORAN: There are a lot of pages. 3 BY MS. DORAN: 4 I want to direct your attention to an exhibit in defendant's central documents binder. 5 6 MS. DORAN: I don't know if your Honor wants to give 7 the jury a moment to get them or --8 Do you have copies for each member of the THE COURT: 9 j ury? I know that that is for the convenience of 10 MS. DORAN: 11 the jury, but I don't know that, you know, they need to actually 12 look at the document. **13** MR. BALOGH: We do, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 MS. DORAN: Do you want to do that? 16 THE COURT: Yes. Val, do you want to help out? Thev 17 need help with the documents, getting them to the jurors. Thi s is a defense exhibit, Defense Exhibit Number 1. 18 19 (Brief pause.) 20 THE COURT: Thank you. And where would you like us to 21 turn? 22 MS. DORAN: There's a tab that says graffiti 9/22/02. 23 THE COURT: You may turn to that tab, everyone. 24 MS. DORAN: Do you not have it? Not in that one. The

central documents binder. Do you not have one for the witness?

25

MR. MARTUCCI: Sure. I think this is the right spot.

9-22-02. But there's Bates numbers, also.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. MARTUCCI: Sure.

BY MS. DORAN:

Q. You know what? Actually, can you turn to Page 164? It's behind tab 9-22-02. Do you see that? I just want to find out something.

These are some notes. There's some writing in the notes where down sort of towards the bottom of the notes, it says, "I can't work with John. I'm putting you on notice if something happens, you're responsible." Do you know what this is in reference to?

THE COURT: Where are you reading from?

MS. DORAN: I'm sorry. Page 164. This is like three-quarters of the way down after tab 9-22-02.

A JUROR: Judge, can we open ours?

THE COURT: Yes, yes. I thought I told you that it was all right. But always get my attention. Raise your hand, stamp your feet, whistle, whatever you need to do, but if you have a question about those kinds of things, let me know. But yes, you can turn to tab graffiti 9-22-02, and we're on Page 164. It's written in at the bottom It's a little bit obscured, but I think you can make it out.

- 1 BY MS. DORAN:
- 2 Q. Yes. I'm just drawing your attention to this here, Otto.
- 3 Do you know what that's in reference to?
- 4 A. I had had a lot of problems with Mr. Myers.
- 5 Q. John Myers?
- 6 A. John Myers.
- 7 Q. This is the guy you spoke about before when we were talking
- 8 about the spike, right?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. And Herb Jacoby, who was a supervisor there, wanted me to
- work with John, and I told him that I didn't think that was a
- very good idea because I didn't feel safe working around John.
- 14 So, I told him that -- I told him, I said, if you force me to
- work with John, I'm just putting you on notice right now that if
- anything happens to me that you're going to be responsible for
- 17 | it.
- 18 Q. And do you remember when you told Mr. Jacoby that?
- 19 A. Date and time? No, I don't remember.
- Q. Do you know if it was around the time of this graffiti, the
- 21 start of the graffiti and the notes?
- 22 A. It's very possible, yes.
- Q. So, you mentioned the meeting that you had with
- 24 Mr. McPherson, and that was on September 26th, 2002, and that's
- 25 when he reiterates the antidiscrimination policy, right?

- 1 A. Right. Exactly.
- 2 Q. Did anybody else speak up at this meeting?
- 3 A. There was a couple of individuals, skilled tradesmen, that
- 4 felt a little bit offended by the meeting and what they were
- 5 talking about and why just a small group, and that was the
- 6 whole --
- 7 Q. Do you remember what they said?
- 8 A. They were offended by the whole thing of reiterating the
- 9 harassment policy. I know -- I can't remember which one, but
- 10 | said something about, "We can't have no fun on the radios" and
- 11 stuff like that. And that's about it. I can't recall
- 12 everything.
- Q. Do you remember if Mr. McPherson brought up all of this
- 14 graffiti and the notes and the vandalism that had happened?
- 15 A. I don't know if he brought everything up, but he just
- reiterated that the harassment policy doesn't allow for any
- 17 graffiti, notes, or anything to that effect.
- 18 Q. Okay. And did that stop the graffiti? Did the graffiti
- 19 stop then?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Let me direct your attention to -- I'm sorry. I know that
- 22 there are so many binders here. The initial binder, please.
- 23 Direct your attention to the next tab, which is tab 16.
- 24 THE COURT: It's 15, isn't it?
- 25 MS. DORAN: Sorry. The next one that I want to talk

- 1 about is tab number 16.
- 2 THE COURT: All right.
- 3 MS. DORAN: You're right, though, that I was on 14
- 4 before.
- 5 THE COURT: Sixteen, folks. You can turn to tab 16.
- 6 In the blue binder, you can turn to tab 16.
- 7 A JUROR: Which tab?
- 8 MS. DORAN: In the plaintiff's binder.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. The plaintiff's binder. Tab
- 10 16. 0kay. Go ahead.
- 11 BY MS. DORAN:
- 12 Q. Do you recognize this document? This first document, this
- is another incident report?
- 14 A. Yes. This is an incident report by security.
- 15 Q. Okay. And it's dated 9-30, 2002?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Were you made aware of the -- it references some graffiti.
- 18 Were you made aware of the graffiti?
- 19 A. Only after the fact.
- 20 Q. Okay. So, were you able to take pictures of it?
- 21 A. No. I never knew about this graffiti 'til after -- like I
- said, after the fact. I didn't even know it existed until
- someone told me.
- Q. So, you weren't able to take photographs of it?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. And at this time point, Otto, did you take any pictures of
- 2 the graffiti or the notes or anything like that?
- 3 A. The reason I was taking pictures of the graffiti --
- 4 Q. Well, let me ask the question. Did you take photographs?
- 5 A. Yes, I definitely did.
- 6 Q. Okay. And tell us why.
- 7 A. Because if I didn't have any kind of documentation to show
- 8 that this happened, this existed, because of my dealings with
- 9 management, they could just paint over it, clean it up, and say
- 10 it never happened. So, I was just trying to show that all this
- 11 did exist, did happen, and it was part of my life.
- 12 Q. And we've actually already seen some of the photographs that
- 13 you took, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. So, just turning your attention to the last two pages in
- 16 that Exhibit Number 16, these photos were not photos that you
- 17 took, right? Is that right?
- 18 A. Not that I know of.
- 19 Q. And as far as you know, these are the only photographs of
- 20 that graffiti, or do you know of others?
- 21 A. As far as I know, these are the only existing ones.
- Q. Did anyone from Chrysler come and talk to you about the
- graffiti and interview you about the graffiti from HR?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Labor relations?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Anyone from corporate diversity?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. How did you find out about it?
- 5 A. That fire marshal security, Rob Lowery, is the one that made
- 6 me aware of this.
- 7 Q. I see. So, let me ask you. I'm sorry. So, this is dated
- 8 9-30, 2002.
- 9 After that meeting that you had with Mr. McPherson and
- 10 the other guys on the 26th of September, did you have a
- 11 follow-up meeting with Mr. McPherson?
- 12 A. Yes, I went and spoke to Mr. McPherson.
- 13 Q. When was that?
- 14 A. It was, I don't know, probably -- it might have been
- somewhere between the 26th and this date. I don't remember
- 16 exactly.
- 17 Q. Okay. And who was there at the meeting?
- 18 A. I believe it was myself. I can't remember if it was one
- 19 member of -- either the steward, Brad Meyer, and I believe
- 20 either Bob Kertz or Zach Budden was there.
- Q. Okay. And did you call the meeting, or did Mr. McPherson
- 22 invite you?
- A. I believe I wanted to talk to Mr. McPherson, but, really, I
- 24 can't recall for sure.
- Q. Okay. And what did you guys talk about in that meeting?

- A. We talked about what took place, you know, with this meeting of reiterating the harassment policy for Chrysler and to find
- out what they were doing about it and what else are you going to
- 4 do.

9

- Q. Did you tell them that you were satisfied with that one
   meeting, the 9-26-02 meeting?
- 7 A. No. I told them that -- I think I told them that that wasn't good enough.
  - Q. Okay. Did you have any other suggestions to then?
- A. From the very beginning, I suggested cameras, and I
  suggested that they could isolate areas with doors that would
  require a badge or some kind of code or something to go in and
- out. I also asked them if they could beef up security. And to
- 14 all this I wasn't given an answer to.
- Q. How did you come up with the idea about access doors? Does
  Chrysler have anything that has some kind of coded access to
- 17 areas?
- 18 A. Well, we have -- take paint mix room You have to have a
- 19 badge in order to get in. It reads the badge, and it lets you
- 20 in. So, they can know who went in there, when -- not so much
- 21 when you left because you don't use it to leave, only to get
- entrance.
- So, that was part of the idea was if they could put
- some access -- some doors that they could at least segregate
- certain areas so if somebody did go in, they would have to use a

- badge or something that would let them know who went in and, you
  know. at what time.
  - Q. Where would you have liked to have seen some restricted access?
    - A. Well, they could have done it to go into the paint booths because most of the people that worked in the paint booths were regular people all the time. So, it wasn't like you have to go get something else. They could have used the same badge we used to gain access into the plant. They could have used the same thing in those areas, just put up doors.

They put up these what we call -- I don't know how to describe it. But they're doors that you go in, and it blows air on you. Now, they did put those up. That don't mean nothing. What I'm trying to say that they could have done something to isolate the whole paint booth where some of this graffiti might have been found, or especially the two elevators, they could have put a camera in there.

- Q. Okay. So, well, let's talk about the cameras a little bit. You had found the graffiti in elevators. So far you had found the graffiti in your toolbox. Where else did you find the graffiti or the notes at that point?
- A. Well, they said they found the one in the back room where I change clothes. Craig Anderson had found that. These are areas that, first of all, a camera could have -- a covert camera could have been put up, and that's what I required. You can't put a

- 1 camera through that whole place. It's an impossibility to put a
- 2 camera every nook and cranny. But if you could have put cameras
- 3 in certain areas, it would have been a different story.
- 4 Q. Okay. The notes and the graffiti, they weren't showing up
- in every nook and cranny of the Chrysler shop, right?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. They were showing up in specific areas?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And so, you suggested to Chrysler to put up cameras during
- 10 this meeting with McPherson, this follow-up meeting?
- 11 A. I suggested it even before that that they should put
- 12 cameras.
- 13 Q. What did he say to you?
- 14 A. He said they would have to check on it.
- Q. Okay. Did they ever get back to you on that?
- 16 A. I don't remember when they got back to me. I think I'm the
- one that always pushed the issue to find out if they had put any
- cameras up, meaning are you going to put cameras or what. And
- 19 to my knowledge, no cameras ever went up.
- 20 Q. Okay. You mentioned during that first meeting that you had
- said to Rick, "Hey, why just these paint shop skilled tradesmen?
- Why not more people, "you know, reiterating the policy to more
- people. Did you bring that up again in the follow-up meeting
- 24 with McPherson?
- 25 A. I believe I did.

Q. And what did he say?

- A. He said that they were mainly concentrating on people in the paint shop area.
  - Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to Exhibit Number 17, the bottom of the page there.

THE COURT: Folks, I'm going to tell you one more time, or I'm going to have to take the exhibit books away from you.

Do not turn to an exhibit unless I tell you. Don't look at any exhibits that I don't tell you to go to.

All right. Please turn to 17. Again, folks, this is for you. All jurors do not get these exhibit books. It's a lot of trouble, a lot of expense to give these to you. We do it so it can help you so it will make this trial easier for you, so it will make your service easier. The only thing I ask from you is one rule. Don't turn to an exhibit unless I tell you. Just follow that one rule, and this trial will go a lot smoother for you, believe me.

I've been to trials where jurors have had to deal with mountains of exhibits, and they have to wait 'til they go into the jury room, and then each exhibit has to be passed around from juror to juror to look for them. This is a much easier way to deal with it. It's a much more expedient way.

The danger is that jurors will look at exhibits that they're not supposed to. In order to avoid that danger, I ask the jurors not to look to an exhibit unless I tell them to do

- so. And so, I'm asking you to return the parties' favor to you
  by just following that one rule. Do not turn to an exhibit
  unless I say.
- 4 All right. Let's resume.
- MS. DORAN: All right. I'm sorry, your Honor. I will make an effort before I talk about an exhibit to sort of pause on that.
- 8 THE COURT: All right.
- 9 MS. DORAN: I'll do that. I apologize.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. We're on 17 now.
- MS. DORAN: Yes, sir.
- 12 BY MS. DORAN:
- Q. Towards the bottom of the page there, Otto, this document
- 14 talks about your asking the company about a zero tolerance
- policy. Did you ask the company about a zero tolerance policy
- 16 during that follow-up meeting?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What did you say? What's a zero tolerance policy, as you
- 19 understood it?
- 20 A. Zero tolerance, as far as I understand, is that there would
- 21 be no harassment, discrimination, retaliation in the workplace.
- 22 None, absolutely none. Zero.
- Q. Were you feeling harassed at that point?
- 24 A. Definitely.
- Q. And what did you expect would happen if Chrysler found

- 1 somebody who was doing this?
- 2 A. I expected them to be fired, and, according to myself, also
- 3 have them prosecuted outside -- you know, within the law.
- 4 Q. Okay. And at this point did you suspect one person?
- 5 A. No, I didn't suspect one person. I suspected more than one
- 6 person.
- 7 Q. Did you suspect that one person was doing it or more than
- 8 one person was doing it?
- 9 A. I suspected there was more than one person.
- 10 Q. Why is that?
- 11 A. Because of the way -- the notes, the graffiti, the times.
- 12 And one person can't be there 24 hours. So, I just assumed that
- 13 it was different people.
- 14 Q. Was there anything about the notes themselves or the
- graffiti itself that led you to believe it was more than one
- 16 person?
- 17 A. Well, at this point in time, are we talking about all the
- 18 notes or up to this point?
- 19 Q. All the notes. All the notes in general.
- 20 A. Yeah, because of some of the writing in the notes and the
- 21 wording that was used in the notes and the graffiti.
- Q. And the wording that was used. What gave you the idea?
- 23 A. Well, the N word, for example, in some of the graffiti and,
- if I can recall, notes is spelled with one G. We're talking
- about all the notes and the graffiti, not just up to this point.

- 1 0. Yes.
- 2 A. And then it changes. It goes back to two Gs. There are
- 3 certain words that are being used, like if you tell somebody to
- 4 go get F'd, you would put an i-n-g at the end. You wouldn't put
- 5 an e-n at the end. The word mother, if I recall, they were
- 6 using a U instead of an O. And just other words that I just
- 7 | can't recall all of them
- 8 Q. Okay. So, down here in this Exhibit 17, which purports to
- 9 be a meeting summary between you and Mr. McPherson, it talks
- 10 about the zero tolerance policy, and it says, "May indicated his
- 11 question was specific to an individual who had recently been
- 12 returned to the plant after being out for workplace violent act.
- 13 May did not refer to Eldon Kline by name." What's that
- 14 referring to?
- 15 A. That was referring to -- again, going back, I had an
- altercation with Mr. Kline when he was a supervisor.
- 17 Q. Well, let me ask you this. It says that he's been recently
- returned to the plant. What's that referring to?
- 19 A. That's referring to that he struck a Hispanic by the name of
- 20 Omar Sepulveda because Eldon Kline went to work with the tools
- 21 as a pipefitter, and he was -- he struck him, he was fired, and
- 22 then brought back again.
- Q. When was Mr. Kline fired for striking Mr. Sepulveda?
- 24 A. Well, date I don't know because I wasn't privy to that
- 25 information, but I know that they had plenty of witnesses when

- 1 it happened. He struck him with the elbow, knocked him down,
- 2 and he was fired for that act.
- Q. So, was it your understanding that that was in compliance
- 4 with the zero tolerance policy of Chrysler?
- 5 A. Being fired, yes. Bringing him back, I would have to say
- 6 no.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then down here at the very bottom, it talks about
- 8 Mr. McPherson responding to your discussion about zero
- 9 tolerance, and the last sentence says, "It means the company
- 10 will take action, but that action does not always equate to
- 11 discharge." Do you recall talking to McPherson about that? Did
- 12 he make that statement?
- 13 A. Yes, he did. He made that statement.
- 14 Q. Now I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit Number 18.
- MS. DORAN: And, again, your Honor, this is a new
- 16 exhibit for the jury.
- 17 THE COURT: You may turn to 18, folks.
- 18 MS. DORAN: Is that better?
- 19 THE COURT: That's much better.
- 20 MS. DORAN: Okay. I'll do that.
- 21 BY MS. DORAN:
- Q. Do you recognize this?
- 23 A. I recognize it's graffiti directed at me.
- Q. Did you find that?
- 25 A. To be honest, I don't remember. I found so much. I can't

- 1 remember a lot of it. The wording was about the same. It's
- 2 hard to say by the picture.
- Q. All right. Well, let me ask you. At this point were you
- 4 taking photographs of the graffiti that you saw?
- 5 A. The graffiti that I would see or find or if someone told me
- 6 and I had an opportunity to go and take the photograph with my
- 7 own camera, yes, I would do it, just so there would be no way
- 8 that management could deny that that took place or that
- 9 happened. Not only if I saw it, but other people see it.
- 10 Q. Okay. So, is it safe to assume then if you don't have a
- 11 photograph, your own photograph of the graffiti, that you
- weren't able to -- at this point that you weren't able to see
- 13 it, that you didn't actually see it when it was up?
- 14 A. Right. Correct.
- 15 Q. And to your knowledge, do you have your own photograph?
- 16 This is one that was produced by Chrysler in this case. Do you
- 17 have your own photograph?
- 18 A. I don't know of this particular incident.
- 19 Q. And then we have -- if you can go now to --
- 20 MS. DORAN: And, again, your Honor, this is a new
- 21 exhibit. Exhibit Number 19.
- 22 THE COURT: Turn to 19, please, folks.
- 23 BY MS. DORAN:
- Q. Do you recognize that writing?
- 25 A. Do I recognize the writing?

- 1 Q. Yes. Do you recognize the photograph, the writing in the
- 2 photograph?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What is this? Is this new graffiti, or is this old graffiti
- 5 that we've already talked about?
- 6 A. No, this is new graffiti.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what are we looking at here? Tell the jury what
- 8 these are. These are lockers?
- 9 A. These are lockers where when the company would bring us our
- 10 clean coveralls, at the time they were putting them in these
- 11 lockers, and you can see they have names on them
- 12 Q. Okay. And whose locker is that that says Cuban Jew on it?
- 13 A. Well, it says my name on it.
- 14 Q. That's it right there, little tiny Otto. That's your
- 15 locker?
- 16 A. That was my locker at that time, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And do you remember seeing this graffiti on your
- 18 locker?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to the last page of this
- 21 exhibit where it says Monday 11-11-02 in your handwriting. Do
- you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is this?
- 25 A. That is saying that it's been two weeks since I reported it,

- 1 and nothing has been done about it.
- 2 Q. Okay. So, you reported the graffiti. You reported the
- 3 graffiti to Chrysler?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And the graffiti was still up on your locker after
- 6 two weeks?
- 7 A. If I remember correctly, I believe it stayed up for about
- 8 three weeks.
- 9 Q. About three weeks?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay. And that's your note at the very bottom here. "Cuban
- 12 Jew was finally removed from my coverall locker after being
- 13 there for three weeks"?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 MS. DORAN: And, again, your Honor, I have a new
- 17 exhibit, Number 20.
- 18 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you may turn to 20.
- **19 BY MS. DORAN:**
- Q. And do you recognize that photograph, Otto?
- 21 A. I might have taken it. I just don't remember.
- Q. Well, do you recognize the graffiti that you see in that
- 23 photo?
- 24 A. It's got my name on it.
- 25 Q. So, you've seen this graffiti before?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to the second page.
- 3 This is dated 10-28-02. Tell the jury what this is.
- 4 A. Underneath -- there used to be underneath the booth a break
- 5 area. That break area had a telephone, icemaker, coffee
- 6 machine, and tables. People would sit there, have a break, and
- 7 at the time you could smoke in there. And Dawn Lindquist, one
- 8 of the sprayers, is the one that found the graffiti, and she
- 9 made me aware of it.
- 10 Q. She works in the paint department?
- 11 A. At the time -- I believe she still does work in the paint
- department. At the time she was a sprayer up in the booths.
- 13 Q. Was she skilled trades?
- 14 A. No. She's a regular production worker. At the time her job
- is to spray the cars, spray paint.
- 16 Q. All right. So, as far as you know, that sprayer had access
- 17 to the graffiti because she found it, correct?
- 18 A. Of course.
- 19 Q. And who had access to the break room?
- 20 A. Anybody that works in the paint department or anybody in the
- 21 plant.
- Q. So, the thousand people or so that worked at Chrysler at the
- 23 | time?
- A. Yes. Anybody has access to anywhere in the plant.
- 25 Q. Okay. Let me --

- MS. DORAN: Again, your Honor, a new exhibit. Number 2 21, please.
- THE COURT: 21, folks.
- 4 BY MS. DORAN:
- **Q.** Direct your attention to Exhibit Number 21. This purports
- 6 to be notes of a conversation between you and Zach Budden, labor
- 7 relations representative. Do you remember having a conversation
- 8 with Mr. Budden around this time? This is October 31st, 2002,
- 9 and November 1st, 2002.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what did you talk to Mr. Budden about on October 31st,
- 12 2002?
- 13 A. Well, without looking at these notes, truthfully, I can't
- 14 remember what we talked about, but I'm sure it had to do with
- graffiti and giving me copies of photographs that maybe were in
- 16 their possession that I didn't have.
- 17 Q. So, you remember talking with Mr. Budden around this time
- asking him to provide you with copies of the photos that
- 19 Chrysler had taken of the graffiti that you weren't able to take
- 20 photos of?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And did Mr. Budden give you an answer? Did he tell
- 23 you yeah?
- A. No. He said that he'd have to get back to me.
- 25 Q. And did he?

- 1 A. I believe that there was a next conversation on
- 2 November 1st.
- 3 Q. What did he say?
- 4 A. He told me he couldn't give me any copies of any of the
- 5 photographs.
- 6 Q. Did he tell you why?
- 7 A. He just told me that he wasn't supposed to or allowed to.
- 8 Q. Did he tell you who told him he wasn't allowed to give you
- 9 photographs of the graffiti?
- 10 A. The company was denying the request.
- 11 Q. Okay. So, let me direct your attention to --
- 12 MS. DORAN: Again, your Honor, a new exhibit. Number
- 13 22.
- 14 THE COURT: Go to 22, please, ladies and gentlemen.
- 15 BY MS. DORAN:
- 16 Q. Do you recognize what's depicted in this photograph? You
- can look up at the screen, too, if that helps you.
- 18 A. Oh, that's better. After my lockers disappeared out of
- 19 phosphate, where I had been changing for a long period of
- 20 time -- I came back from vacation, and the lockers had
- 21 di sappeared.
- So, I went to Mr. Ackerman at the time, and I asked him
- 23 to provide other lockers for me up in the paint shop. At first
- 24 he refused, and I made him aware that there was other people
- changing in certain areas. So, he allowed me to get three

- 1 lockers and put them up in what we call the pipefitters crib,
- 2 which was behind the paint booths at the time.
- 3 Q. What's in the pipefitters crib?
- 4 A. In the pipefitters crib -- well, you can see I had three
- 5 lockers. I had a mat in front of my lockers, so when I
- 6 change -- I'm a pretty neat person. I try to -- you know, I
- 7 don't want to change in dirt. So, I kept it clean. And at the
- 8 same time there was parts in there, other lockers, but not so
- 9 much for people changing. Mainly it was a storage place to keep
- 10 parts, so you could go in there and get the parts because we
- 11 didn't have the room upstairs to do it.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you recognize these lockers?
- 13 A. Those are my lockers.
- 14 Q. These are your lockers. And did you see this graffiti on
- 15 your lockers?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And when you saw the graffiti, what did you do?
- 18 A. Well, like I've stated before, the first thing I used to do
- 19 is take pictures of anything that I found.
- Q. And that's what we have here, right? This is your picture
- 21 that you took?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. And then I would notify the proper authorities, meaning I'd
- 25 notify my immediate supervisor. Then I would notify a lot of

- 1 times labor relations, but a lot of times just my supervisor.
- 2 | felt it's his job to notify labor relations. I had already been
- doing that all myself. So, to ask me every instance who did I
- 4 notify, for sure, my immediate supervisor, and more than likely
- 5 I took it a step further and notified labor relations.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you recall when you found this?
- 7 A. Date?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Well, let me direct your attention to --
- 11 MS. DORAN: And I apologize because I don't have the
- 12 little Bates stamp, I'm sorry, in our binder, but it's the first
- 13 note that follows the photographs. The last photograph was a
- 14 photograph of a cooler, and then there's a note.
- 15 BY MS. DORAN:
- 16 Q. Do you see that? Does that refresh your recollection?
- 17 THE COURT: It looks like Page 4 of tab 22, right?
- 18 MS. DORAN: Yes, sir.
- 19 THE COURT: All right.
- 20 BY MS. DORAN:
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to when you found --
- 22 THE COURT: By the way, let me say something. Folks,
- 23 when I instruct you to turn toward a tab, that means you can
- look at any of the pages in that tab. You don't have to wait
- 25 for me to tell you page one, two, three, and four. But when I

- say a tab, everything in that tab is available to you, and you
- 2 can use it as you see fit. Please proceed.
- 3 MS. DORAN: Thank you.
- 4 BY MS. DORAN:
- 5 Q. Does this refresh your recollection, Otto, as to when you
- 6 found that graffiti?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When did you find it?
- 9 A. It happened somewhere between 11:45 and 12:00 p.m
- 10 **Q.** What day?
- 11 A. 1-13-02. It was a Sunday.
- 12 Q. Okay. And did you find graffiti on anything else?
- 13 A. There was more graffiti on another wall. As a matter of
- 14 | fact, from looking at it -- and I can't recall -- it was right
- 15 where I used to sit between two benches, and I used to sit back
- 16 up against that particular wall.
- 17 Q. You used to -- I have it up on the screen here. You would
- 18 sit up against this particular wall?
- 19 A. Yeah. I had a chair, and I would just sit back up against
- 20 that wall.
- 21 Q. And what's this a picture of?
- 22 A. When this series of graffiti took place, I had my lunchbox
- in there, and that's what I found written on it.
- Q. The word Jew?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. How did that make you feel?
- 2 A. Terrible.
- Q. Well, at this point were you feeling more comfortable about
- 4 your lunchbox?
- 5 A. No. That's one of the -- why I started taking it up to the
- 6 booth with me after that -- if I remember, after that incident.
- 7 Q. I see. At this point did Chrysler have any meetings with
- 8 you telling you, you know, hey, this is what we're planning on
- 9 doing to fix this problem?
- 10 A. No never.
- 11 Q. Let me turn your attention now to a new tab, tab number 23.
- 12 THE COURT: You may turn to 23, ladies and gentlemen.
- 13 BY MS. DORAN:
- 14 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. Yes, it was a death threat note.
- 16 Q. Did you find this?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to the second page in
- 19 this exhibit. That looks to be like a picture of somebody
- 20 | holding up a note. Who is that person, do you know?
- 21 A. That was the Belvidere Police Department, and he's holding
- 22 it up so security -- we were at the security gate, and he
- removed it with gloves, and he was holding it up so they could
- 24 take a picture of it.
- Q. Okay. Well, why don't you tell us a little bit about this

- 1 | note. Where did you find it?
- A. To be honest with you, I just can't remember right now. I
- 3 can't remember every instance where I found it.
- 4 Q. Well, let me direct your attention to the third page in this
- 5 tab. This is another DaimlerChrysler at Belvidere incident
- 6 report dated 12-7-02, and you'll see in that narrative section
- 7 sort of halfway in there it says, "Otto May's complaint was that
- 8 he found a note in his toolbox this morning which stated, " and
- 9 then it goes on to state the contents of the note. Does that
- 10 refresh your recollection as to where you found it?
- 11 A. Sounds right.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, you found it again in your toolbox?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Tell us a little bit about this toolbox, Otto. How are
- people getting into it?
- 16 A. Well, you don't have to get into it. The toolbox itself has
- about five drawers where in between the drawers there's about a
- quarter inch gap in between the drawers. So, I mean, you can
- 19 slip anything in the toolbox through those gaps.
- 20 Q. Was it locked or something?
- 21 A. I always kept my toolbox locked because of previous
- experiences. Before this happened, for safety purposes I was --
- people were -- I was missing tools. So, I always kept it
- 24 locked.
- Q. Okay. And so, as far as you know, someone was just pushing

- 1 the notes through the slots to put it into your drawers?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. And so, you reported this to security, and you reported it
- 4 to the Belvidere Police Department?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Okay. And is that something that you did normally with the
- 7 notes or not?
- 8 A. Well --
- 9 Q. Reporting them to the Belvidere Police Department.
- 10 A. That's pretty much -- I was doing that. I got the Belvidere
- 11 Police Department involved after the first death threat note.
- 12 That's when they got involved.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. So, the answer is yes.
- Q. Let me -- if you would just look at the very last page of
- 16 that exhibit. That's another security incident report dated
- 17 that same date, 12-7, 2002. It talks about a maintenance
- supervisor A -- I think it's Al -- is it Surges?
- 19 A. That is correct. Al Surges.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. At the time Al was a supervisor down in body and white, what
- we call body and white, which is a body shop.
- Q. Okay. And it says in here -- it purports that he found some
- graffiti in the R-24 men's restroom Do you know where that is?
- 25 A. It's R-42.

- 1 Q. I'm sorry. I'm a little backwards today. R-42, men's
- 2 restroom?
- 3 A. Yes, I know where that's at.
- 4 Q. Did you use that men's restroom?
- 5 A. Not at that time.
- 6 Q. Okay. It says pictures were taken, and the graffiti was
- 7 removed. Do you remember seeing that graffiti?
- 8 A. No, I never saw it.
- 9 Q. Okay. And did Chrysler give you a copy of the photograph?
- 10 A. No, of course not.
- 11 Q. Did anyone from Chrysler tell you, "Hey, we found some
- 12 graffiti about you in the men's restroom!?
- 13 A. No, of course not.
- Q. So, you talked about getting the Belvidere Police Department
- involved. Did you get any other outside agencies involved? Did
- 16 you tell anybody else?
- 17 A. Yes. I called -- I myself called the FBI. I called the --
- 18 what do you call it. The office here in Rockford. They
- directed me to a different number, and finally I got ahold of
- 20 somebody -- I don't remember at the time who it was, but
- 21 eventually I did meet with an FBI agent at the Belvidere Police
- 22 Department.
- Q. And when was that?
- A. That was sometime early January of 2003.
- Q. Okay. So, just after this note? You found this note on

- 1 12-7-02. So, it would have been just about a month or so after
- 2 finding this note?
- 3 A. Roughly.
- 4 Q. Well, before we get there, I wanted to talk to you about a
- 5 new exhibit, Number 25.
- 6 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you can turn to 25.
- 7 BY MS. DORAN:
- 8 Q. Specifically, Otto, about the second page of this exhibit.
- 9 A. I'm sorry.
- 10 Q. Take your time. It's the second page of the exhibit. Do
- 11 you know anything about this letter?
- 12 A. I initiated this letter.
- 13 Q. How so?
- 14 A. I felt so frustrated and so, you know, like nobody was doing
- 15 really anything concrete to put a stop to this harassment, the
- 16 letters, the graffiti, that I went and contacted the ADL,
- 17 Anti-Defamation League. I thought maybe they could lend a hand
- in maybe putting enough -- because it's a big organization, they
- 19 pack a pretty good punch, you know, in those terms, and I felt
- 20 that maybe then they could do something with Chrysler to see if
- 21 maybe they could get them to do something. I felt they weren't
- doing anything.
- Q. Okay. Why the Anti-Defamation League? What is so special
- 24 about the Anti Defamation League?
- 25 A. Because I'm a Jew, and a lot of the graffiti, the notes, the

- death threats were directed at being a Jew.

  Q. So, the Anti-Defamation League is an organization that does what?

  A. How would I say it. It investigates, you know, and deals
  - A. How would I say it. It investigates, you know, and deals with a lot of the anti-Semitism that Jews are subject to.
  - Q. Okay. So, this is a letter that is from the ADL to general counsel of Chrysler over in Farmington Hills, Michigan, dated December 26th, 2002. Did you after this have any conversations with anyone from Chrysler who was from Michigan?
  - A. It was shortly after this letter was sent, that's when Mr. Scott Huller introduced himself, and he mentioned, as a matter of fact, when we met early January -- I don't remember the date -- of 2003, he mentioned this letter going to wherever it went to, and it got directed to the diversity office, and he was coming down to talk to me about it. So, finally, I had gotten somebody outside Belvidere to come and talk to me.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Now, I'm sorry, but I need you to go to the other binder.

THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit Number 1?

MS. DORAN: Right.

THE COURT: It's black. My binder is black. Do you have the same black colored binder? Defense 1.

MS. DORAN: I think that might be our exhibit book.

A JUROR: The blue is plaintiff.

THE COURT: Yours is blue, also? No, yours is black.

- 1 It says central documents at the front. That's right. That's
- 2 Defense Exhibit Number 1. We're going to go to that now.
- 3 There's a blue sticker at the bottom It says Defendant's
- 4 Exhibit 1.
- 5 All right. We're on track now. Where do you want us
- 6 to go?
- 7 MS. DORAN: Please go to Page Number 425.
- 8 THE COURT: The page numbers are marked at the bottom
- 9 folks.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A. I'm sorry. Did you say 425?
- 12 BY MS. DORAN:
- 13 Q. 425. Otto, how are you doing? Are you comfortable?
- 14 A. My back's bothering me.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. You can turn to 425. It's
- behind the tab marked meetings Huller 1-16-03.
- 17 MS. DORAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 BY MS. DORAN:
- 19 Q. Did you get it?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, this is some notes marked attorney-client
- 22 privilege communication, Otto May initial interview,
- January 16th, 2003, notes by S. Huller. S. Huller is the fellow
- 24 from Michigan that you spoke with?
- 25 A. He said he was from diversity from Detroit or Auburn Hills,

- 1 one of those.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall -- did you, in fact, meet with him
- 3 in mid January of that year?
- 4 A. I thought it was earlier than that, but if it was the 16th,
- 5 that's fine.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. Yes, I did meet with him
- 8 Q. Okay. And what did you talk to Mr. Huller about during this
- 9 meeting?
- 10 A. I asked him what brought him here, and he told me that it
- was the ADL letter that had brought him to talk to me. It got
- 12 their attention. That's what he said to me.
- Q. And then did you talk to him about any of the incidents of
- 14 graffiti and vandalism and notes that you had been subjected to
- 15 up to that point?
- 16 A. We covered a lot of stuff and mostly the vandalism Just
- 17 the graffiti, notes, etc., etc.
- 18 Q. How long did the meeting last?
- 19 A. I think it lasted about -- I know it was two to three hours
- 20 because I stayed over, which I never got paid for, anyway, which
- 21 I was a little bit upset about.
- Q. In other words, you were working that day, and then you
- 23 remained to talk with this man?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And what did you tell him during this meeting, and

- 1 what did he say to you?
- 2 A. Mainly, his -- how would I say. He wanted names. And at
- 3 that time --
- 4 Q. Names of what?
- 5 A. Of suspects.
- 6 Q. He wanted you to give him a list of suspects?
- 7 A. That is correct. That was the whole purpose of the meeting.
- 8 He wanted names. And Ms. Dwyer, may she rest in peace, had told
- 9 me that not to point the finger at anyone without proof. So, at
- 10 this point in time, I was very reluctant to give a bunch of
- 11 names that I don't have any proof of.
- 12 Q. When you say proof, what do you mean by proof?
- 13 A. With proof means you got to catch somebody in the act. I
- mean, if you don't catch somebody in the act, you're just
- 15 speculating. You're saying, well, it could have been him, it
- 16 could have been him, it could have been her.
- 17 Q. So, in other words, you had not caught anyone red-handed?
- 18 A. To my knowledge, nobody had been caught red-handed,
- 19 especially myself.
- 20 Q. Okay. So that you had not caught anybody yourself
- 21 red-handed?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. So, the conversation was sort of focused on your giving
- 24 Mr. Huller a list of suspects?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- 1 Q. Did you tell him that you had suspected certain people?
- 2 A. Yeah. I told him that there was some people that had come
- 3 to mind, and he wanted me to give him names at this time.
- 4 Q. Did you expect that Mr. Huller had looked in your personnel
- 5 | file prior to the meeting or anything to find out about you
- 6 and --
- 7 A. He never mentioned anything to that effect.
- 8 Q. During this meeting, Otto, did you break down and give him a
- 9 list of people that you thought perhaps could be involved?
- 10 A. Not at this meeting. Not at this time.
- 11 Q. Okay. And the reason was because you hadn't caught anyone
- 12 red-handed?
- 13 A. I hadn't caught anybody red-handed. I had no proof of who
- 14 was doing what. And at the advice of counsel, an attorney, she
- 15 had told me not to give him any names.
- 16 Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention to the third
- paragraph there on that first page. It says, "He indicated he
- 18 never knows what's going to happen next. Feels as if he's on a
- 19 roller coaster. In addition to occasional feelings of rage, he
- 20 suffers from low self esteem and feels humiliated." Did you say
- 21 that to Mr. Huller?
- 22 A. Of course.
- Q. And you were talking about what?
- 24 A. I was talking about all the graffiti and notes and how it
- 25 made you feel. You know, how it made me feel.

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. And the fact that nothing was being done about it.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I felt helpless.
- Q. Okay. And then directing your attention to -- well, you
- 6 know, actually, let me ask you one quick question about this
- 7 meeting. Did Mr. Huller indicate to you that he came all the
- 8 way from the Detroit area to Belvidere, Illinois, just because
- 9 of you, solely to talk to you?
- 10 A. That's what he indicated to me, and I asked him at this
- 11 meeting, "Have you ever worked here at the plant," because I
- 12 didn't trust a lot of the people in the plant because of
- everything that had happened. And he told me no, that he never
- 14 worked here at Belvidere.
- 15 Q. He told you that?
- 16 A. Yeah, he told me that. Okay. And later on I come to find
- out that wasn't the truth.
- 18 Q. We'll get to that.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. But I guess my question to you, Otto, is did Mr. Huller
- 21 indicate to you that he made this one special trip to come all
- 22 the way out to Belvidere solely for the purpose of talking to
- 23 you?
- A. That's what he indicated, but I know that's not factual.
- 25 Q. How did you find out that that's not factual?

- 1 A. Because he came to investigate some other harassment or --
- 2 Q. Some other issues?
- 3 A. -- issues, right.
- 4 Q. How did you come to find that out?
- A. I don't remember who told me about it. I really don't. I
- 6 can't remember right now.
- Q. All right. Well, let me direct your attention to this page
- 8 number, the next page, which is 426, that second paragraph.
- 9 THE COURT: You can go to 426, folks.
- 10 BY MS. DORAN:
- 11 Q. That second paragraph there says, "May said that he
- genuinely fears for his safety. He said he told his wife that
- if anything were to happen at work, if he were to be killed and
- 14 it was called an accident, to look closely and make sure it was
- really an accident. He implied that the use of the words die
- and kill in the notes and graffiti constituted death threats."
- 17 Did you tell him that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you talk to your wife about your concerns?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you say -- and your wife's name at the time was
- 22 Sossity; is that correct?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 THE COURT: What's the name again?
- 25 MS. DORAN: Sossity.

- 1 BY MS. DORAN:
- 2 Q. S-o-s-s-i-t-y?
- 3 A. That is correct. I told her -- you know, I was trying to
- 4 share as much as I could with her because she saw I was very
- 5 distraught, and I would -- you know, I would go into very deep
- 6 moods, you know. So, I was just trying to let her know that if
- 7 something did happen to me to make sure that it was really an
- 8 accident.
- 9 Q. You say deep moods. What do you mean by deep moods?
- 10 A. You know, like a depression type deal where I just didn't
- even feel like going out of the house or dealing with anybody or
- 12 any issues.
- Q. So, is this true? Did you believe that your life was being
- 14 threatened?
- 15 A. Of course I did. I think anybody in their right mind when
- 16 they start getting stuff like this would take it very seriously,
- 17 considering all the things that are happening and have happened
- in the workplace.
- 19 Q. Now, let me direct your attention -- that same page down
- 20 towards the bottom, the next to the last paragraph, the next to
- 21 last sentence of the next to last paragraph, where it says, "He
- realized, however, that cameras would not be effective because
- people would discover them and render them useless." Did you
- 24 say that?
- 25 A. No. That's -- he put that in there. I would never say

- 1 something like that.
- Q. Okay. Well, did you believe that putting cameras up, people
- 3 would find out about them, and they would just be useless, and
- 4 so what's the point of putting cameras up to try to stop the
- 5 harassment?
- 6 A. First of all, even the police department even shared in that
- 7 about cameras. But you have to remember that there are cameras
- 8 that are so small you could just about put them anywhere.
- 9 Nobody would ever even know they existed.
- 10 Q. How did you know about that?
- 11 A. I've read it in the Internet. I've seen it.
- 12 Q. Did you do this Internet search for cameras back then?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. In 2003 and 2002?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. This wasn't your only meeting with Mr. Huller,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You had a follow-up meeting with him correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And that was the next day?
- 22 A. That was the next day.
- Q. Okay. So, let me just -- yeah, you can go ahead and turn
- 24 the page to 427.
- 25 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you can go to 427.

- 1 BY MS. DORAN:
- 2 Q. These are notes --
- 3 MS. DORAN: I'm sorry, your Honor. I'll pause.
- 4 BY MS. DORAN:
- Q. These are notes from the January 17th, 2003, meeting with
- 6 Huller. These are Huller's notes, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. In this meeting -- you mentioned in the first meeting
- 9 that Mr. Huller was trying to get your list of potential
- suspects or folks that might be involved in this harassment. In
- 11 this meeting did you give him that list?
- 12 A. Yes. After I spoke to my attorney after this meeting, the
- day before it took place, I talked to Ms. Dwyer, and we talked
- about it, and the way she told me to word it is that don't point
- 15 the finger, but just say that these are persons that have
- 16 harassed you, bothered you, made derogatory remarks, and use
- 17 that, and then give him the list of names.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then at the bottom of that page and even at the
- 19 top of the next page, we have a list of names. We have hourly,
- and then there's a list of names, and management and a list of
- 21 names. Are these the names that you gave to Mr. Huller?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MS. DORAN: Your Honor, it's 4:56 right now. This is
- probably going to take me a little while to go through.

Do you want to break?

THE COURT:

1

2 I think it might be a good time. MS. DORAN: Folks, I'll release you for the evening. 3 THE COURT: 4 Again, I want to admonish you that as jurors in this case, you're not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone 5 6 else or permit anyone to discuss it in your presence. You must 7 not read any newspaper articles or listen to any radio or television broadcasts relating to this case. Do not make any 8 9 independent investigation of the case by reading materials, 10 attempting any testing, or going to any location where any of 11 the events in this case took place. If anyone contacts you or 12 attempts to do so, either directly or indirectly, notify me 13 i medi ately. 14 Have a good night. We'll start tomorrow morning at 15 9:00 o'clock. And so, you have to be in the jury room at 16 9: 00 o'clock. If you come at 8:30, courtesy of the Clerk's 17 Office, you can have free coffee and donuts from the United 18 You don't have to have them, but they're available to 19 You're welcome to them at 8:30. But, in any event, I need 20 you here at 9:00 o'clock. I hope we can start promptly and go 21 on with Mr. May's testimony. Have a good night. 22 (The following proceedings were had in open court, out of 23 the presence and hearing of the jury:) 24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. May, you may step down. 25 Let me ask you about exhibits. Will we be touching on

```
1
      every exhibit in the book?
 2
                MS. DORAN:
                            With him we're touching on the vast
 3
      majority of them But I can tell your Honor that if you don't
 4
      mind, we can go through it tonight so that in the morning those
      five exhibits are not in there.
 5
 6
                THE COURT:
                            That's fine.
 7
                MS. DORAN:
                            So, everything else is good.
                            Right.
                THE COURT:
                                   And everything else is good.
 8
                                                                   So. I
      don't really have to worry about the jurors going to other
9
      exhibits that I haven't referred to.
10
11
                            And I apologize for that inconvenience.
                MS. DORAN:
12
                THE COURT:
                            I'm going to tell them that tomorrow
13
      morning then, that they can look at any of the exhibits in the
14
      book.
              The parties agree?
15
                MR. MARTUCCI:
                              That will be true for Chrysler exhibits,
      as well, your Honor.
16
17
                THE COURT:
                            All right.
18
                MS. DORAN:
                            Yes.
19
                            I'll let them know then. But before you
                THE COURT:
20
      leave tonight, you'll remove one through five?
21
                MS. DORAN:
                            Yes, sir.
22
                THE COURT:
                            Anything else we have to take care of?
23
                MR. MARTUCCI:
                               No, your Honor.
24
                THE COURT:
                            Okay. 9:00 o'clock then we'll continue
25
      with Mr. May.
```

```
MS. MEDINA:
                             Okay.
1
               THE COURT: Have a good night.
2
                MR. BALOGH:
                             Thank you, Judge.
 3
               THE COURT: You're welcome. Court's in recess.
 4
           (Whereupon, the within trial was adjourned to Thursday,
 5
          August 26, 2010, at 9:00 o'clock a.m)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```